

# COMP 322: Fundamentals of Parallel Programming

## Lecture 25: Atomics, Java Synchronized Statements

Mack Joyner  
mjoyner@rice.edu

<http://comp322.rice.edu>



# How to enforce mutual exclusion?

- The predominant approach to ensure mutual exclusion proposed many years ago is to enclose the code region in a critical section.
  - “In concurrent programming a critical section is a piece of code that accesses a shared resource (data structure or device) that must not be concurrently accessed by more than one thread of execution. A critical section will usually terminate in fixed time, and a thread, task or process will have to wait a fixed time to enter it (aka bounded waiting). Some synchronization mechanism is required at the entry and exit of the critical section to ensure exclusive use, for example a semaphore.”
  - Source: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Critical\\_section](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Critical_section)



# java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicInteger

- Constructors
  - `new AtomicInteger()`
    - Creates a new `AtomicInteger` with initial value 0
  - `new AtomicInteger(int initialValue)`
    - Creates a new `AtomicInteger` with the given initial value
- Selected methods
  - `int addAndGet(int delta)`
    - Atomically adds `delta` to the current value of the atomic variable, and returns the new value
  - `int getAndAdd(int delta)`
    - Atomically returns the current value of the atomic variable, and adds `delta` to the current value
- Similar interfaces available for `LongInteger`



# java.util.concurrent.AtomicInteger methods and their equivalent isolated constructs (pseudocode)

j.u.c.atomic Class and Constructors	j.u.c.atomic Methods	Equivalent HJ isolated statements
<b>AtomicInteger</b>	int j = v.get();	int j; isolated (v) j = v.val;
	v.set(newVal);	isolated (v) v.val = newVal;
<b>AtomicInteger()</b> // init = 0	int j = v.getAndSet(newVal);	int j; isolated (v) { j = v.val; v.val = newVal; }
	int j = v.addAndGet(delta);	isolated (v) { v.val += delta; j = v.val; }
	int j = v.getAndAdd(delta);	isolated (v) { j = v.val; v.val += delta; }
<b>AtomicInteger(init)</b>	boolean b = v.compareAndSet (expect,update);	boolean b; isolated (v) if (v.val==expect) {v.val=update; b=true;} else b = false;

Methods in java.util.concurrent.AtomicInteger class and their equivalent HJ isolated statements. Variable v refers to an AtomicInteger object in column 2 and to a standard non-atomic Java object in column 3. val refers to a field of type int.



# Work-Sharing Pattern using AtomicInteger

```
1. import java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicInteger;
2. ...
3. String[] X = ... ; int numTasks = ...; int j;
4. int[] taskId = new int[X.length];
5. ...
6. finish(() -> {
7.     for (int i=0; i<numTasks; i++ )
8.         async(() -> {
9.             do {
10.                j = j + 1;
11.                // check if at end of X
12.                if (j >= X.length) break;
13.                taskId[j] = i; // Task i processes string X[j]
14.                ...
15.            } while (true);
16.        });
17.}); // finish-for-async
```



# Work-Sharing Pattern using AtomicInteger

```
1. import java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicInteger;
2. ...
3. String[] X = ... ; int numTasks = ...; int j;
4. int[] taskId = new int[X.length];
5. AtomicInteger a = new AtomicInteger();
6. ...
7. finish() -> {
8.   for (int i=0; i<numTasks; i++ )
9.     async() -> {
10.    do {
11.      j = a.getAndAdd(1);
12.      // can also use a.getAndIncrement()
13.      if (j >= X.length) break;
14.      taskId[j] = i; // Task i processes string X[j]
15.      ...
16.    } while (true);
17.  });
18.}); // finish-for-async
```



# Objects and Locks in Java — synchronized statements and methods

- Every Java object has an associated lock acquired via:
  - **synchronized** statements
    - `synchronized( foo ) { // acquire foo's lock  
// execute code while holding foo's lock  
} // release foo's lock`
  - **synchronized** methods
    - `public synchronized void op1() { // acquire 'this' lock  
// execute method while holding 'this' lock  
} // release 'this' lock`
- Java language does not enforce any relationship between the object used for locking and objects accessed in isolated code
  - If same object is used for locking and data access, then the object behaves like a monitor
- Locking and unlocking are **automatic**
  - Locks are released when a synchronized block exits
    - By normal means: end of block reached, `return`, `break`
    - When an exception is thrown and not caught



# Locking guarantees in Java

- It is preferable to use `java.util.concurrent.atomic` or `HJlib` isolated constructs, since they cannot deadlock
- Locks are needed for more general cases. Basic idea is for JVM to implement `synchronized(a) <stmt>` as follows:
  1. Acquire lock for object `a`
  2. Execute `<stmt>`
  3. Release lock for object `a`
- The responsibility for ensuring that the choice of locks correctly implements the semantics of isolation lies with the programmer.
- The main guarantee provided by locks is that only one thread can hold a given lock at a time, and the thread is blocked when acquiring a lock if the lock is unavailable.





# Java's Object Locks are Reentrant

- Locks are **granted** on a **per-thread** basis
  - Called reentrant or recursive locks
  - Promotes object-oriented concurrent code
- A synchronized block means execution of this code requires the current thread to hold this lock
  - If it does — fine
  - If it doesn't — then acquire the lock
- Reentrancy means that recursive methods, invocation of **super** methods, or local callbacks, don't deadlock

```
public class Widget {
    public synchronized void doSomething() { ... }
}

public class LoggingWidget extends Widget {
    public synchronized void doSomething() {
        Logger.log(this + ": calling doSomething()");
        ...
        doSomething(); // Doesn't deadlock!
    }
}
```



# Deadlock example with Java synchronized statement

- The code below can deadlock if `leftHand()` and `rightHand()` are called concurrently from different threads
  - Because the locks are not acquired in the same order

```
public class ObviousDeadlock {
    . . .
    public void leftHand() {
        synchronized(lock1) {
            synchronized(lock2) {
                for (int i=0; i<10000; i++)
                    sum += random.nextInt(100);
            }
        }
    }
}

    public void rightHand() {
        synchronized(lock2) {
            synchronized(lock1) {
                for (int i=0; i<10000; i++)
                    sum += random.nextInt(100);
            }
        }
    }
}
```



# Deadlock avoidance in HJ with object-based isolation

- HJ implementation ensures that all locks are acquired in the same order
- ==> no deadlock

```
public class ObviousDeadlock {  
    . . .  
    public void leftHand() {  
        isolated(lock1, lock2) {  
            for (int i=0; i<10000; i++)  
                sum += random.nextInt(100);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```
    public void rightHand() {  
        isolated(lock2, lock1) {  
            for (int i=0; i<10000; i++)  
                sum += random.nextInt(100);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

