

Corky Cartwright
Vivek Sarkar
Department of Computer Science
Rice University



### Today's goals

- Accounting for cost of computation (complexity)
- Accumulating "history" using accumulators

### **Example: Partial Sums**

```
;; sums: (listOf number) -> (listOf number)
;; (sums alon) computes the partial sums for n; it returns a list of
;; numbers, psum, such that the ith element of psum is the sum of the
;; numbers preceding (and including) the ith element of alon e.g.,
(12345) = (1361015)
(define (sums alon)
 (cond [(empty? alon) empty]
       [else
        (cons (first alon)
          (map (lambda (x) (+ x (first alon)))
                (sums (rest alon))))]))
```

# Question: how many additions does function sums perform?

#### Reduction sequence:

```
...(list 5)... => ... =>
...(list 4 (+ 5 4))... =>
...(list 4 9)... => ... =>
...(list 3 (+ 4 3) (+ 9 3))... => ... =>
...(list 3 7 12)... => ... =>
...(list 2 (+3 2) (+7 2) (+12 2))... => ... =>
...(list 2 5 9 14)... => ... =>
...(list 1 (+2 1) (+5 1) (+9 1) (+14 1))... => ... =>
(list 1 3 6 10 15)
```



### Cost accounting

- Measure computation cost in reduction steps using our reduction semantics. Models actual cost reasonably well.
- Consider three algorithms
  - Cost-A(n) =  $2*n^3 + n^2 + 50$
  - Cost-B(n) =  $3*n^2 + 100$
  - Cost-C(n) =  $2^n$
- Which algorithm is best?
- Which algorithm works best for large n?
- Can we formalize this notion?



### Order of Complexity

- We'll say that Cost-X is "order f (n))", or simply "O(f (n))" (read "Big-O of f (n))") if
  - Cost-X(n) < factor \* f(n) for sufficiently large n</li>
- Examples:

```
• Cost-A(n) = 2*n^3 + n^2 + 1 Cost-A is O(n^3)
```

• Cost-B(n) = 
$$3*n^2 + 10$$
 Cost-B is  $O(n^2)$ 

• Cost-C(n) = 
$$2^n$$
 Cost-C is  $O(2^n)$ 



### Famous "Complexity Classes"

- O(1)
- $O(\log n)$
- O (n)
- O(n \* log n)
- $O(n^2)$
- $O(n^3)$
- $n^{O(1)}$
- $2^{O(n)}$

```
constant-time (head, tail)
logarithmic (binary search)
linear (vector multiplication)
"n log n" (sorting)
quadratic (matrix addition)
cubic (matrix multiplication)
polynomial (...many! ...)
exponential (guess password)
```



### Improving Performance

- The sums function performs n\*(n-1)/2 additions to compute partial sums for a list of n numbers
- We can do much better than O(n²)!
- What information do we need to do better?
  - This is basically the "lost history" in the recursive call

# Accumulator version of same program

- Idea: as the list is successively decomposed into first and rest, the sums function can accumulate the sum of the numbers to the left of rest.
- Template Instantiation:

   (define (sums-help lon sum)
   (cond [(empty? lon) ... ]
   [else ... (first lon) ... sum ...
   (sums-help (rest lon) ..) ]))

# Accumulator version of same program

```
;; sums-help: (listOf number) number -> (listOf number)
;; Invariant: sum is the sum of the numbers that preceded alon in alon0
(define (sums-help alon sum)
 (cond
   [(empty? alon) empty]
   [else
     (local [(define new-sum (+ sum (first I)))]
       (cons new-sum (sums-help (rest I) new-sum)))]))
;; sums: (listOf number) -> (listOf number)
(define (sums alon0) (sums-help alon0 0))
```

# Question: how many additions does the accumulator version perform?

```
Reduction sequence:
(sums-help (list 1 2 3 4 5) 0) => . . . =>
...(+ 0 1)... => . . . =>
(cons 1 (sums-help (list 2 3 4 5) 1)) => . . . =>
...(+ 1 2)... => .... =>
(cons 1 (cons 3 (sums-help (list 3 4 5) 3))) => ... =>
...(+ 3 3)... => . . . =>
(cons 1 (cons 3 (cons 6 (sums-help (list 4 5) 6)))) => . . . =>
...(+ 6 4)... => . . . =>
(cons 1 (cons 3 (cons 6 (cons 10 (sums-help (list 5) 10))))) => . . . =>
...(+ 10 5)... => ... =>
(cons 1 (cons 3 (cons 6 (cons 10 (cons 15 empty)))))
```



### Formulating an Accumulator

- If we decide to use an accumulator, we need to answer three questions:
  - What should the initial value for the accumulator be?
  - How will we modify the accumulator in each recursive call? (What will we "accumulate"?)
  - How will we use the accumulator to produce the final result?

#### Naïve List Reversal

### 4

### Reversal using an accumulator

```
;; Invariant: ans is the reversed list of all items
;; that preceded I in I0
(define (rev-help I ans)
 (cond [(empty? I) ans]
        [else (rev-help (rest I) (cons (first I) ans))]))
(define (fast-rev I0) (rev-help I empty))
```



### Added Expressivity

- Code simplification using accumulators
- Consider the list reverse function
  - Takes '(1 2 3 4 5) and produces '(5 4 3 2 1)
- How did we write this function in the naïve version? Used append. Ugh.
- What information did we use to do better?
  - This is basically the "lost history" of the recursive call
- Is this list reversal example really different from the list accumulation example?



### Naïve List Flattening

```
    ;; (flatten: (genListOf symbol) -> (listOf symbol)
    ;; (flatten agl) returns a list of the symbols in order of appearance
    ;; (flatten '((a b) c ((d))) = '(a b c d)
    (define (flatten agl)
    (cond [(empty? agl) empty]
    [else (local [(define head (first agl)))
    (define tail (flatten (rest agl)))]
    (cond [(empty? head) tail]
    [(cons? head) (append (flatten head) tail)]
    [else (cons head tail)]))]))
```

 Note: we wrote this function so that the symbol type can be replaced by any non-list type.

### Accumulator version