Accepting Reality: Full Java

Corky Cartwright

Department of Computer Science

Rice University

What are Language Levels Hiding?

- In principle, nothing...
 Java could have supported a notion of *immutable* classes with essentially the same semantics as the DrJava Intermediate Level.
- But Java is what it is ...
- Transforming DrJava IL code to full Java code:
 - Explicit constructors
 - Explicit accessors
 - Explicit overriding of equals
 - Explicit overriding of hashCode()
 - Explicit overriding of toString()

Explicit Constructors

A constructor definition has the form:

```
<ClassName>(arg1, ..., argn) {
    <optional supercall on superclass constructor>
    <code body that initializes instance fields of class>
}
```

- All fields not initialized in explicit constructors are set to the default value for their respective type: 0 for all primitive number/char types, false for boolean and null for all object (reference) types.
- Multiple constructors are permissible (static overloading).
- If no explicit constructors are provided, Java automatically generates a default 0-ary constructor with an empty body.

Explicit Accessors

- An accessor definition is an ordinary instance method definition of the form:
 - <accessorName>() { return <fieldName>; }
- The choice of <accessorName> is arbitrary. I recommend using the corresponding <fieldName>. Another common convention is get<fieldName>.
- Instance fields should never be public.
- Multiple constructors are allowed (static overloading).
- If no explicit constructors are provided, Java automatically generates a default 0-ary constructor with an empty body.

Explicit Overriding of equals

- The equals method, which has signature,

 public boolean equals(Object other);

 is inherited in any program-defined class from its superclass. In

 Object, equals means object identity (same allocation using new.

 This default is almost never the proper definition for an immutable class, but it is usually the right definition for a mutable class.
- In the Java programming culture, the following rule is very widely taught: always override hashCode, which has signature:

```
public int hashCode();
```

when you override **equals**. Their meanings purportedly must preserve the following invariant:

```
a.equals(b) \rightarrow a.hashCode == b.hashCode()
```

This rule is compelling for immuatable data but it makes no sense for mutable data. We will discuss this issue in more detail later in the course.

Explicit Overriding of equals cont.

- How should we write code to override **equals** an immutable class C with fields **f**, **g**, **h**? For the complete answer, look at the .**java** files generated by the DrJava language levels facility. A satisfactory answer in some contexts is the following:
- Note: if a field is of primitive type, the proper comparison operator is infix == .
- What is wrong with this definition? What happens if we extend classC?
- What is fundamentally wrong with using the == operator instead of equals on object types? Not algebraic (mathematical) equality.



Explicit Overriding of hashCode

- For immutable classes, the preceding invariant linking equals and hashCode is important because hash tables will break if the invariant is violated.
- We will study hash tables later in the course.
- We defer discussing how to propertly override hashCode until then.



Explicit Overriding of toString

- The default definition of toString, which has signature public String toString();
 is awful: <className>@<hashCode>.
- Why is **toString** important? This representation is used anytime that an object is printed, e.g. in many testing contexts.



For Next Class

- Homework for next Friday will be posted this afternoon. It consists of doing HW6 (optional) in Java. I will provide you with a Scheme solution that you must translate to Java using stub code that I will provide.
- Language levels are your friend.