COMP 322: Fundamentals of Parallel Programming

Lecture 10: Abstract vs. Real Performance (contd), seq clause

Vivek Sarkar Department of Computer Science, Rice University <u>vsarkar@rice.edu</u>

https://wiki.rice.edu/confluence/display/PARPROG/COMP322

COMP 322

Lecture 10





Outline of Today's Lecture

- Abstract vs. Real performance (contd)
- seq clause in async statements

Acknowledgments

• COMP 322 Module 1 handout, Sections 9.1, 9.2, 9.3.



Recap of 2-processor schedule of a Computation Graph studied in Lecture 3 (slide 11)



This schedule was obtained by mapping computation graph nodes to processor assuming:

1. Non-preemption (no context switch in the middle of a node)

2. Greedy schedule (a processor is never idle if work is available)

There may be multiple possible schedules with these assumptions

Schedule with execution time, $T_2 = 13$

Start time	Proc 1	Proc 2
0	Α	
1	В	F
2	D	F
3	D	F
4	D	F
5	D	F
6	D	F
7	D	F
8	D	F
9	D	F
10	D	F
11	D	С
12		Е
13		



Two possible HJ programs for this Computation Graph (there can be others ...)



There is no significance to the left-to-right ordering of edges in a computation graph, which is why there can be multiple parallel programs for the same computation graph

```
// Program Q1
A;
finish {
   async { B; D; }
   async F;
   async { C; E; }
}
```

```
// Program Q2
A;
finish {
   async { C; E; }
   async F;
   async { B; D; }
}
```



Recap of Work-first vs. Help-first work-stealing policies

- When encountering an async
 - Help-first policy
 - Push async on "bottom" of local queue, and execute next statement
 - Work-first policy
 - Push continuation (remainder of task starting with next statement) on "bottom" of local queue, and execute async
- When encountering the end of a finish scope
 - Help-first policy & Work-first policy
 - Store continuation for end-finish
 - Will be resumed by last async to complete in finish scope
 - Pop most recent item from "bottom" of local queue
 - If local queue is empty, steal from "top" of another worker's queue





Scheduling Program Q1 using a Work-First Work-Stealing Scheduler



Start time	Proc 1	Proc 2
0	Α	
1	В	F
2	D	F
3	D	F
4	D	F
5	D	F
6	D	F
7	D	F
8	D	F
9	D	F
10	D	F
11	D	С
12		Е
13		



Scheduling Program Q1 using a Help-First Work-Stealing Scheduler



Worksheet #9: Continuations and Work-First vs. Help-First Work-Stealing Policies

For each of the continuations below, label it as "WF" if a work-first worker can switch tasks at that point and as "HF" if a help-first worker can switch tasks at that point. Some continuations may have both labels.





Work-Sharing vs. Work-Stealing Scheduling Paradigms (Recap)

- Work-Sharing
 - -Busy worker eagerly distributes new work
 - -Easy implementation with global task pool
 - -Access to the global pool needs to be synchronized: scalability bottleneck

Work-Stealing

- -Busy worker incurs little overhead to create work
- —Idle worker "steals" the tasks from busy workers
- —Distributed task pools lead to improved scalability
- —When task T_a spawns T_b , the worker can
 - stay on T_a, making T_b available for execution by another processor (<u>help-first</u> policy), or
 start working on T_b first (<u>work-first</u> policy)





Iterative Fork-Join Microbenchmark

```
1. finish {
2. for (int i=1; i<k; i++)
3. async Ti; // task i
4. T0; //task 0
5. }</pre>
```

Single-Worker execution times to model overhead (Section 9.2.1)

- k = number of tasks
- t_s(k) = sequential time
- $t_1^{wf}(k) = 1$ -worker time for work-stealing with work-first policy
- t₁^{hf}(k) = 1-worker time for work-stealing with help-first policy
- $t_1^{ws}(k) = 1$ -worker time for work-sharing
- Java-thread(k) = create a Java thread for each async



Fork-Join Microbenchmark Measurements (execution time in micro-seconds)

k	$t_s(k)$	$t_1^{wf}(k)$	$t_1^{hf}(k)$	$t_1^{ws}(k)$	Java-thread(k)
1	0.11	0.21	0.22		
2	0.22	0.44	2.80		
4	0.44	0.88	2.95		
8	0.90	1.96	3.92	335	3,600
16	1.80	3.79	6.28		
32	3.60	7.15	10.37		
64	7.17	14.59	19.61		
128	14.47	28.34	36.31	$2,\!600$	63,700
256	28.93	56.75	73.16		
512	57.53	114.12	148.61		
1024	114.85	270.42	347.83	22,700	768,000

NOTE: Help-First usually performs better than Work-First on this benchmark when the number of workers is > 1, but not in this single-worker case



Q: Why do we have different schedulers?

A: Because they have different performance & functional characteristics

DrHJ compiler option	SUMMARY	Functional limitations	Performance characteristics
work-sharing (Default option)	Supports full HJ language, but can	1) Supports full lang 2) Supports perf metrics	3) Creates additional worker threads when a task blocks
work-sharing (Fork-Join variant)	lead to "max threads" error.	1) + 2)	3) + 4) may perform better than work-sharing for recursive parallelism
work-stealing (Help-First policy)		5) Only supports async, finish, forasync, isolated, atomic vars	6) Fixed number of worker threads 7) better for loop parallelism
work-stealing (Work-First policy)	Supports restricted HJ language, but avoids "max threads" error.	5) + 8) Supports data race detection	6) + 9) better for recursive parallelism
work-stealing (Adaptive policy)		Same as 5)	10) automatically chooses between help- first and work-first
<i>cooperative</i> (under development)	Holy Grail full HJ without "max threads" error!	Currently supports 5) + Futures goal is to support everything!	Same as 6)

Scheduling Policies Currently Available in HJ

DrHJ compiler option	Command-line option	Functional characteristics	Performance characteristics
work-sharing (Default option)	Compile: hjc -rt s (default) Runtime: hj (no option needed)	1) Supports full lang 2) Supports perf metrics	3) Creates additional worker threads when a task blocks
work-sharing (Fork-Join variant)	Compile: hjc -rt s (default) Runtime: hj -fj	1) + 2)	3) + 4) may perform better than work-sharing for recursive parallelism
work-stealing (Help-First policy)	Compile: hjc -rt h Runtime: hj (no option needed)	5) Only supports async, finish, forasync, isolated, atomic vars	6) Fixed number of worker threads 7) better for loop parallelism
work-stealing (Work-First policy)	Compile: hjc -rt w Runtime: hj (no option needed)	5) + 8) Supports data race detection	6) + 9) better for recursive parallelism
work-stealing (Adaptive policy)	Compile: hjc -rt h Runtime: hj (no option needed)	Same as 5)	10) automatically chooses between help-first and work-first policies on each async
<i>cooperative</i> (under development)	Compile: hjc -rt c Runtime: hj (no option needed)	<i>Currently supports 5) + Futures goal is to support everything!</i>	Same as 6)



Outline of Today's Lecture

Abstract vs. Real performance

seq clause in async statements

Acknowledgments

• COMP 322 Module 1 handout, Sections 9.1, 9.2, 9.3.



Problem: creating too many small async tasks can be a source of overhead (ArraySum2)

```
static int computeSum(int[] X, int lo, int hi) {
1.
2.
     if (lo > hi) return 0;
3.
  else if ( lo == hi ) return X[lo];
4. else {
5.
       int mid = (10+hi)/2;
6. final future<int> sum1 =
7.
             async<int> { return computeSum(X, lo, mid); };
8. final future int > sum2 =
            async<int { return computeSum(X, mid+1, hi); };</pre>
9.
10. // Parent now waits for the container values
   return sum1.get() + sum2.get();
11.
12. }
13. } // computeSum
14. int sum = computeSum(X, 0, \times.length-1); // main program
        Creating one async per tree node leads to too many tasks!
```



Common fix in parallel divide-and-conquer algorithms --- add a "threshold" test

```
// Minimum size for which an async task is justified
int thresholdSize = 1000000;
...
int mid = (lo+hi)/2;
int size = hi - lo + 1;
if (size < thresholdSize) { // Sequential case
    sum1 = computeSum(X, lo, mid); sum2 = computeSum(X, mid+1, hi);
}
else { // Parallel case --- pseudocode
    sum1 = async computeSum(X, lo, mid); sum2 = async computeSum(X, mid+1, hi);
}
...</pre>
```

- The "size < thresholdSize" condition ensures that async tasks are only created for upper nodes in the reduction tree; lower nodes (closer to the leaves) are executed sequentially.
- A large thresholdSize value leads to larger async tasks with less (shallower) parallelism
- A small thresholdSize value leads to smaller async tasks with more (deeper) parallelism



seq clause in HJ async statement

async seq(cond) <stmt> \equiv if (cond) <stmt> else async <stmt>

```
1. // Non-Future example
2. async seq(size < thresholdSize) computeSum(X, lo, mid);
3.
4. // Future example
5. final future<int> sum1 = async<int> seq(size < thresholdSize)
6. { return computeSum(X, lo, mid); };</pre>
```

 "seq" clause specifies condition under which async should be executed sequentially

- False ⇒ an async is created
- True \Rightarrow the parent executes async body sequentially
- Avoids the need to duplicate code for both cases
- Also simplifies use of final variables (needed for futures)





Use of seq clause in ArraySum2

```
static int thresholdSize = 1000000;
1.
2.
    - - -
    static int computeSum(int[] X, int lo, int hi) {
3.
      if (10 > hi) return 0;
4.
5.
      else if ( lo == hi ) return x[lo];
6.
      else {
7.
        int mid = (l_0+h_i)/2; size = h_i-l_0+1;
        final future<int> sum1 = async<int> seq(size < thresholdSize)</pre>
8.
                                    { return computeSum(X, lo, mid); };
9.
10.
        final future<int> sum1 = async<int> seq(size < thresholdSize)</pre>
11.
                                    { return computeSum(X, mid+1, hi); };
12.
        // Parent now waits for the container values
13.
        return sum1.get() + sum2.get();
14.
       }
15.
     } // computeSum
16.
     - - -
17. int sum = computeSum(X, 0, X.length-1); // main program
```



Threshold Condition depends on application



19

COMP 322, Spring 2013 (V.Sarkar)

Parallel Solution to NQueens with Finish Accumulator and seq clause

```
static accumulator count:
1.
2.
   count = accumulator.factory.accumulator(SUM, int.class);
3.
   finish(a) ngueens_kernel(new int[0], 0);
4.
   System.out.println("No. of solutions = " + count.get().intValue());
5.
6.
    . . .
   void nqueens_kernel(int [] a, int depth) {
7.
8.
     if (size == depth) count.put(1);
9.
  else
10.
       /* try each possible position for queen at depth */
11.
       for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) async seq(depth >= cutoff) {
12.
         /* allocate a temporary array and copy array a into it */
13.
         int [] b = new int [depth+1];
14.
         System.arraycopy(a, 0, b, 0, depth);
15.
         b[depth] = i;
16.
         if (ok(depth+1,b)) nqueens_kernel(b, depth+1);
17. } // for-async
18. } // nqueens_kernel()
```



Worksheet #10: Scheduling Program Q2 using a Work-First & Help-First Schedulers

Name	1:	
------	----	--

Name 2: _____

Complete work-first and help-first schedules for the program shown below (using step times from the computation graph)



Work-First Schedule

Start time	Proc 1	Proc 2
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		



Worksheet #10: Scheduling Program Q2 using a Work-First & Help-First Schedulers (contd)

1. // Program Q2

async F;

async { C; E; }

async { B; D; }

3. finish {

2. A;

4.

F 5.

6. 7.}

F

Help-First Schedule

Start time	Proc 1	Proc 2
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		



B

D