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Consider SIMD execution of the following pseudocode with 8 threads. Assume that each call to doWork(x) takes x units of time, and ignore all other costs. How long will this program take when executed on 8 GPU cores, taking into consideration the branching issues discussed in Slide 9?

1. int tx = threadIdx.x; // ranges from 0 to 7
2. if (tx % 2 == 0) {
3.   S1: doWork(1); // Computation S1 takes 1 unit of time
4. }
5. else {
6.   S2: doWork(2); // Computation S2 takes 2 units of time
7. }

Solution: 3 units of time (WORK=24, CPL=3)
GPUs are provided to accelerate graphics, but they can also be used for non-graphics applications that exhibit large amounts of data parallelism and require large amounts of "streaming" throughput ⇒ SIMD parallelism within a Streaming Multiprocessor (SM), and SPMD parallelism across SMs.
GPU Design Idea #2: SIMD “lock-step” execution w/ branching (Recap)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
<th>ALU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Non branching code:

```c
if(flag > 0) {
    /* branch */
    x = exp(y);
    y = 2.3*x;
}
else {
    x = sin(y);
    y = 2.1*x;
}
```

The cheap branching approach means that some ALUs are idle as all ALUs traverse all branches [executing NOPs if necessary]

In the worst possible case we could see 1/8 of maximum performance.
Since clock-rate scaling ended in 2003, HPC performance has been achieved through increased parallelism. Jaguar scaled to 300,000 cores.

Titan and beyond deliver hierarchical parallelism with very powerful nodes. MPI plus thread level parallelism through OpenACC or OpenMP plus vectors.

Source: Buddy Bland, OLCF Project Director, ASCAC presentation, 2014
Partitioned Global Address Space
Languages

- Global address space
  - one-sided communication (GET/PUT)  
    simpler than msg passing
- Programmer has control over performance-critical factors
  - data distribution and locality control  
    lacking in thread-based models
  - computation partitioning
  - communication placement
- Data movement and synchronization as language primitives
  - amenable to compiler-based communication optimization
- Global view rather than local view
Partitioned Global Address Space (PGAS)

Languages

- Unified Parallel C (extension of C)
  - Now available as UPC++ library for C++11 programmers
- Coarray Fortran (extension of Fortran)
- Titanium (extension of early version of Java)

- Related efforts: newer languages developed since 2003 as part of the DARPA High Productivity Computing Systems (HPCS) program
  - IBM: X10 (starting point for Habanero-Java)
  - Cray: Chapel
  - Oracle/Sun: Fortress
Data Distributions

- Motivation for distributions: partitioning and mapping arrays elements to processors
- In HJlib, distributions are used to map computations to places for affinity
- For Unified Parallel C (UPC), distributions map data onto distributed-memory parallel machines (Thread = Place)

Like shared vs. private/local data in HJ, except now each datum also has an “affinity” with a specific thread/place, hence the P in PGAS
Unified Parallel C (UPC) Execution Model

- Multiple threads working independently in a SPMD fashion
  - MYTHREAD specifies thread index (0..THREADS-1)
  - Like MPI processes and ranks
  - # threads specified at compile-time or program launch time

- Partitioned Global Address Space (different from MPI)

- Threads synchronize as necessary using
  - synchronization primitives
  - shared variables
Shared and Private Data

- Static and dynamic memory allocation of each type of data
- Shared objects placed in memory based on affinity
  - shared scalars have affinity to thread 0
    - here, a scalar means a non-array instance of any type (could be a struct, for example)
  - by default, elements of shared arrays are allocated “round robin” among memory modules co-located with each thread (cyclic distribution)
Consider the following data layout directive

```
shared int y[2 * THREADS + 1];
```

For THREADS = 3, we get the following cyclic layout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread 0</th>
<th>Thread 1</th>
<th>Thread 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y[0]</td>
<td>y[1]</td>
<td>y[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y[6]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Multi-dimensional Shared Array

```c
shared int A[4][THREADS];
```

For THREADS = 3, we get the following cyclic layout:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread 0</th>
<th>Thread 1</th>
<th>Thread 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A[0][0]</td>
<td>A[0][1]</td>
<td>A[0][2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consider the following data layout directives

```
shared int x; // x has affinity to thread 0
shared int y[THREADS];
int z;       // // private
```

For THREADS = 3, we get the following layout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread 0</th>
<th>Thread 1</th>
<th>Thread 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y[0]</td>
<td>y[1]</td>
<td>y[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Controlling the Layout of Shared Arrays

- Can specify a blocking factor for shared arrays to obtain block-cyclic distributions
  - default block size is 1 element ⇒ cyclic distribution

- Shared arrays are distributed on a block per thread basis, round robin allocation of block size chunks

- Example layout using block size specifications
  - e.g., shared int a[16]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread 0</th>
<th>Thread 1</th>
<th>Thread 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a[12]</td>
<td>a[14]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Blocking Multi-dimensional Data

- Consider the data declaration
  
  ```c
  ```

- When THREADS = 4, this results in the following data layout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread 0</th>
<th>Thread 1</th>
<th>Thread 2</th>
<th>Thread 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A[3][1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A[3][2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping is not pretty for most blocking factors
A Simple UPC Program: Vector Addition

//vect_add.c
#include <upc_relaxed.h>
#define N 100*THREADS

shared int v1[N], v2[N], v1plusv2[N];

void main() {
    int i;
    for(i=0; i<N; i++)
        if (MYTHREAD == i % THREADS)
            v1plusv2[i]=v1[i]+v2[i];
}

Each thread executes each iteration to check if it has work
A More Efficient Vector Addition

//vect_add.c
#include <upc_relaxed.h>
#define N 100*THREADS

shared int v1[N], v2[N], v1plusv2[N];

void main() {
    int i;
    for(i = MYTHREAD; i < N; i += THREADS)
        v1plusv2[i]=v1[i]+v2[i];
}

Thread 0  Thread 1
Iteration #:
0  1
2  3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>v1[0]</th>
<th>v1[1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v2[0]</td>
<td>v2[0]</td>
<td>v2[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v1plusv2[0]</td>
<td>v1plusv2[0]</td>
<td>v1plusv2[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each thread executes only its own iterations
Worksharing with `upc_forall`

- Distributes independent iterations across threads
- Simple C-like syntax and semantics
  
  ```
  upc_forall(init; test; loop; affinity)
  ```
- Affinity is used to enable locality control
  
  - usually, map iteration to thread where the iteration’s data resides
- Affinity can be
  
  - an integer expression (with implicit mod on NUMTHREADS), or a
  
  - reference to (address of) a shared object
Work Sharing + Affinity with `upc_forall`

- **Example 1:** explicit data affinity using shared references
  ```c
  shared int a[100], b[100], c[100];
  int i;
  upc_forall (i=0; i<100; i++; &a[i])
    // Execute iteration i at a[i]'s place
    a[i] = b[i] * c[i];
  ```

- **Example 2:** implicit data affinity with integer place expressions
  ```c
  shared int a[100], b[100], c[100];
  int i;
  upc_forall (i=0; i<100; i++; i)
    // Execute iteration i at place i % THREADS
    a[i] = b[i] * c[i];
  ```

- **Both yield a round-robin distribution of iterations**
Work Sharing + Affinity with \texttt{upc\_forall}

- Example 3: implicit affinity by chunks

  \begin{verbatim}
  shared [25] int a[100], b[100], c[100];
  int i;
  upc\_forall (i=0; i<100; i++; (i*\text{THREADS})/100)
    a[i] = b[i] * c[i];
  \end{verbatim}

- Assuming 4 threads, the distribution of \texttt{upc\_forall} iterations is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>iteration i</th>
<th>i*\text{THREADS}</th>
<th>i*\text{THREADS}/100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0..24</td>
<td>0..96</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25..49</td>
<td>100..196</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50..74</td>
<td>200..296</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75..99</td>
<td>300..396</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Synchronization in UPC

• Barriers (blocking)
  — upc_barrier
    – like “next” operation in HJ

• Split-phase barriers (non-blocking)
  — upc_notify
    – like explicit (non-blocking) signal on an HJ phaser
  — upc_wait
    – upc_wait is like explicit wait on an HJ phaser

• Lock primitives
  — void upc_lock(upc_lock_t *l)
  — int upc_lock_attempt(upc_lock_t *l) // like trylock()
  — void upc_unlock(upc_lock_t *l)
UPC++ library: a “Compiler-Free” Approach for PGAS (source: LBNL)

- Leverage C++ standards and compilers
  - Implement UPC++ as a C++ template library
  - C++ templates can be used as a mini-language to extend C++ syntax

- Many new features in C++11
  - E.g., type inference, variadic templates, lambda functions, r-value references
  - C++ 11 is well-supported by major compilers
Habanero-UPC++: Extending UPC++ with Task Parallelism (LBNL, Rice)

1. `finish ( [capture_list1] () {`
2.     // Any Habanero dynamic tasking constructs
3.     . . . // finish, async, asyncAwait
4.     . . .
5.     // Remote function invocation
6.     asyncAt ( destPlace, [capture_list2] ( ) {
7.         Statements;
8.     });
9.     . . .
10.    // Remote copy with completion signal in result
11.    asyncCopy ( src, dest, count, ddf=NULL );
12.    . . .
13.    asyncAwait(ddf, ....); // local
14. }); // waits for all local/remote async’s to complete
Example code structure from an application run on ORNL supercomputer (LSMS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPI version:</th>
<th>Habanero-UPC++ version:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>// Post MPI_IRecv() calls</td>
<td>// Issue one-sided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>// asyncCopy() calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// Post MPI_Isend() calls</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>// Issue data-driven tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// Perform all MPI_Wait() calls</td>
<td>// in any order without any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// calls</td>
<td>// wait/barrier operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>hcpp::asyncAwait(</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// Perform tasks</td>
<td>result1, result2,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// Each task needs results</td>
<td><a href="">=</a> { task body });</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// from two MPI_IRecv() calls</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPI version waits for all IRecv() calls to complete before executing all tasks (like a barrier)

Habanero-UPC++ version specifies that each asyncAwait() task can complete when its two results become available from asyncCopy() calls