

Comp 311

Functional Programming

Nick Vrvilo, Two Sigma Investments
Robert “Corky” Cartwright, Rice University

October 19, 2017

The Environment Model of Reduction

- To evaluate an application of a closure
 - Extend the environment of the closure, mapping the function's parameters to argument values
 - Evaluate the body of the closure in this new environment

Example Evaluation

```
makeOddBooster(3)(1); ENV  $\mapsto$   
(m: Int) => if (isEven(m)) m else m + n)(1);  
    {n: Int = 3,  
     isEven = Closure(...),  
     isOdd = Closure(...)}  $\cup$  ENV  $\mapsto$   
if (isEven(m)) m else m + n;  
{m: Int = 1, n: Int = 3, ...}  $\cup$  ENV  $\mapsto^*$   
if (false) m else m + n;  
{m: Int = 1, n: Int = 3, ...}  $\cup$  ENV  $\mapsto$   
    m + n;  
{m: Int = 1, n: Int = 3, ...}  $\cup$  ENV  $\mapsto$   
    4; ENV
```

Lexical vs Dynamic Scoping

- The semantics of function application that we have outlined is referred to as *lexical scoping*
- Early versions of Lisp avoided the need for closures:
 - They reduced function applications by extending the environment in which the application *occurred*
 - This semantics of function application is known as dynamic scoping
 - Why is dynamic scoping problematic?

Call-By-Value and Call-By-Name

Call-By-Value

- Thus far, the evaluation semantics we have studied (both with the substitution and environment models) is known as call-by-value:
- To evaluate a function application, we first evaluate the arguments and then evaluate the function body

Call-By-Value

- We have seen several “special forms” where this evaluation semantics is not what we want:

`&&`

`||`

`if-else`

Call-By-Value

- We could delay evaluation in these cases by wrapping arguments in function literals that take no parameters

```
def myOr(left: Boolean, right: () => Boolean) =  
  if (left) true  
  else right()
```


Call-By-Value

- We could delay evaluation in these cases by wrapping arguments in function literals that take no parameters

```
myOr(true, () => 1/0 == 2) ↦ true
```

- Functions that take no arguments are referred to as *thunks*

Call-By-Name

- Scala provides a way that we can pass arguments as thunks without having to wrap them explicitly

```
def myOr(left: Boolean, right: => Boolean) =  
  if (left) true  
  else right
```

*We simply leave off the parentheses
in the parameter's type*



Call-By-Name

- Now we can call our function without wrapping the second argument in an explicit thunk:

```
myOr(true, 1/0 == 2) ↦ true
```

- The thunk is applied (to nothing) the first time that the argument is evaluated in a function

Call-By-Name

- We can use by-name parameters to define new *control abstractions*:

```
def myAssert(predicate: => Boolean) =  
  if (assertionsEnabled && !predicate)  
    throw new AssertionError
```

Syntactic Sugar: Braces for Passing Arguments

- Any function that takes a single argument can be applied by passing the argument enclosed in braces instead of parentheses

```
myAssert {  
    2 + 2 == 4  
}
```

Syntactic Sugar: Braces for Passing Arguments

- Any function that takes a single argument can be applied by passing the argument enclosed in braces instead of parentheses

```
myAssert {  
  def double(n: Int) = 2 * n  
  double(2) == 4  
}
```

The Environment Model of Type Checking

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- We have used environments in type checking to hold the bounds on type parameters
- They can also be used to record the types of names and function parameters
- Rather than thinking of typing rules as substitutions, we can think of them directly as assertions on expressions that we can reason with according to a logic

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- As a convenient notation, we express subtyping rules in the context of an environment by placing an environment to the left of a “turnstile” and a typing judgement to the right

$$\frac{}{\{T <: \text{Any}\} \vdash T <: T} \text{[S-Ref11]}$$

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- As a convenient notation, we express subtyping rules in the context of an environment by placing an environment to the left of a “turnstile” and a typing judgement to the right

$$\frac{}{\{T <: N\} \vdash T <: T} \text{ [S-Ref12]}$$

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- As a convenient notation, we express subtyping rules in the context of an environment by placing an environment to the left of a “turnstile” and a typing judgement to the right

$$\frac{}{\Delta \vdash T <: T} \text{[S-Ref1]}$$

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- We express typing rules in the context of
 - a type parameter environment and
 - a type environment (mapping names to types)
- We place both environments to the left of the “turnstile” (separated by a semicolon) and a typing judgement to the right:

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma + \{x:T\} \vdash x:T} \text{ [T-Var]}$$

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- Some typing judgements require assumptions
- We place assumed judgements above a horizontal bar (above the resulting type judgement)

$$\frac{\Delta; (\Gamma + \mathbf{x:N}) \vdash \mathbf{e:M}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash ((\mathbf{x:N}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{e}) : (\mathbf{N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{M})} \text{ [T-Arrow]}$$

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- Function applications involve checking the function and the arguments:

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_0 : R \Rightarrow S; \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : T; \Delta \vdash T <: R;}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_0 e_1 : S} \text{ [T-App]}$$

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- To type check an expression in a pair of environments:
 - Form a proof tree, where each node is the application of an inference rule
 - The root of the tree is the typing judgement we are trying to prove
 - Each premise in a given rule is the root of a subtree proving that premise

The Environment Model of Type Checking

- For each form of expression there is exactly one inference rule
- Therefore, proving a typing judgement is a simple recursive descent over the structure of an expression

Scala Immutable Collections

Immutable Lists

- Behave much like the lists we have defined in class
- Lists are covariant
- The empty list is written `Nil`
- `Nil` extends `List[Nothing]`

Immutable Lists

- The list constructor takes a variable number of arguments:

```
List(1,2,3,4,5,6)
```

Immutable Lists

- Non-empty lists are built from Nil and Cons (written as the right-associative operator ::)

`1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: Nil`

List Operations

- `head` returns the first element
- `tail` returns a list of elements but the first
- `isEmpty` returns true if the list is empty
- Many of the methods we have defined are available on the built-in lists

FoldLeft and FoldRight Written as Operators

- foldLeft:

`(zero /: xs) (op)`

- foldRight:

`(xs :\ zero) (op)`

FoldLeft and FoldRight Written as Operators

- foldLeft:

```
(xs foldLeft zero) (op)
```

- foldRight:

```
(xs foldRight zero) (op)
```

FoldLeft and FoldRight Written as Methods

- foldLeft:

```
xs.foldLeft(zero) { op }
```

- foldRight:

```
xs.foldRight(zero) { op }
```


SortWith

```
List(1,2,3,4,5,6) sortWith (_ > _)
```

↳

```
List(6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1)
```

Range

`List.range(1, 5)`

↳

`List(1, 2, 3, 4)`

Using Fill for Uniform Lists

```
List.fill(10)(0) ⇨  
List(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)
```

Using Fill for Uniform Lists

```
List.fill(3,3)(0) ⇨
```

```
List(List(0,0,0),  
      List(0,0,0),  
      List(0,0,0))
```

Tabulating Lists

```
List.tabulate(3,3) { (m,n) =>  
  if (m == n) 1 else 0  
}
```

↳

```
List(List(1,0,0),  
      List(0,1,0),  
      List(0,0,1))
```

Immutable Sets

Immutable Sets

- Sets are unordered, unrepeated collections of elements
- `Set[T]` extends the function type `T ⇒ Boolean`
- Sets are parametric and *invariant* in their element type

Set Factory

Set(1,2,3,4,5)

Set Element Addition

$\text{Set}(1, 2, 3) + 4 \mapsto$
 $\text{Set}(1, 2, 3, 4)$

Set Element Subtraction

$$\text{Set}(1, 2, 3) - 2 \mapsto \text{Set}(1, 3)$$

$$\text{Set}(1, 2, 3) - 4 \mapsto \text{Set}(1, 2, 3)$$

Set Union

$\text{Set}(1, 2, 3) \cup \text{Set}(2, 4, 5) \mapsto$
 $\text{Set}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$

Set Difference

Set(1,2,3) - - Set(2,4,5,3) \mapsto
Set(1)

Set Intersection

$\text{Set}(1, 2, 3) \ \& \ \text{Set}(2, 4, 5, 3) \mapsto$
 $\text{Set}(2, 3)$

Set Cardinality

`Set(1,2,3).size` \mapsto
3

Set Membership

```
Set(1,2,3).contains(2) ⇨  
true
```

```
Set(1,2,3)(2) ⇨  
true
```

The *apply* method on sets is
equivalent to the *contains* method.

Immutable Maps

Immutable Maps

- Maps are collections of key/value pairs
- They are parametric in both the key and value type
 - Invariant in their key type
 - Covariant in their value type

The -> Operator

- The infix operator -> returns a pair of its arguments:

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ -> \ 2 \\ \mapsto \\ (1, 2) \end{array}$$

- Note: Scala also allows *Unicode Operators*, and the infix “→” operator is one such example:

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ \rightarrow \ 2 \\ \mapsto \\ (1, 2) \end{array}$$

The \rightarrow Operator is Left Associative

> 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4

res8: ((Int, Int), Int), Int) = (((1,2),3),4)

The Map Factory

Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3)
↳
Map(a -> 1, b -> 2, c -> 3)

Map Addition

Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3) + ("d" → 4)
↳
Map(a -> 1, b -> 2, c -> 3, d -> 4)

Map Operations

The operators/methods are defined in the expected way:

- -
- ++
- --
- size

Map Membership

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).contains("b")  
    ↪  
    true
```

Map Lookup

Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3)("c")
→
3

Map Keys

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).keys  
  ↪  
Set(a, b, c): Iterable[String]
```

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).keySet  
  ↪  
Set(a, b, c): Set[String]
```

Map Values

`Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).values`
↳
`Set(1,2,3)`

Map Empty

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).isEmpty  
  ↪  
false
```

Traits

Traits

Traits provide a way to factor out common behavior among multiple classes and mix it in where appropriate

Trait Definitions

Syntactically, a trait definition looks like an abstract class definition, but with the keyword “trait”:

```
trait Echo {  
    def echo(message: String) =  
        message  
}
```

Trait Definitions

- Traits can declare fields and full method definitions
- They must not include constructors

```
trait Echo {  
  val language = "Portuguese"  
  def echo(message: String) =  
    message  
}
```

Using Traits

- Classes “mix in” traits using either the `extends` or `with` keywords

```
class Parrot extends Echo {  
  def fly() = {  
    // forget to fly and talk instead  
    echo("poly wants a cracker")  
  }  
}
```


Using Traits

- Classes “mix in” traits using either the `extends` or `with` keywords

```
class Parrot extends Bird with Echo {  
  def fly() = {  
    // forget to fly and talk instead  
    echo("poly wants a cracker")  
  }  
}
```

Using Traits

- Classes “mix in” traits using either the `extends` or `with` keywords

```
trait Smart {  
  def somethingClever() =  
    “better a witty fool than a foolish wit”  
}
```

Using Traits

- Classes can mix in multiple traits via multiple `with`s:

```
class Parrot extends Bird with Echo
with Smart {
  def fly() = {
    // forget to fly and talk instead
    echo(somethingClever())
  }
}
```

Using Traits

Classes can mix in multiple traits via multiple `with`s:

```
trait X  
case class Foo()  
  
new Foo() with X
```



*Must use the **new** keyword when creating a new class instance with a mixin trait*