

Corky Cartwright Vivek Sarkar Department of Computer Science Rice University

1

Top-Level Definitions

- We have learned three kinds of definitions thus far:
 - 1. Function definitions e.g.,

(define (f x) (+ x 1))

1. Variable (constant) definitions e.g.,

```
(define two (f 1))
```

1. Structure definitions e.g.,

(define-struct cmplx (real imag))

• They appear in Dr. Scheme's Definitions window and are called *top-level definitions*

Local Expression

• A *local expression* groups together a set of *disjoint* definitions for use in a subcomputation:

(**local** ($def_1 def_2 \dots def_n$) exp)

- exp is an arbitrary expression
- *def*_i is a definition in the set
- def_i is only available for use within the local expression i.e., within def₁ def₂ ... def_n and exp



(define x 3) ;; top-level definition

(local ((define x 3)) (+ x 1)) ;; local expression

(define (f x) (+ x 1)) ;; top-level definition

(local ((define x 3) ;; local definition (define (f x) (+ x 1))) ;; local definition (f x)) ;; body

(+ (local ((define x 3) (define (f x) (+ x 1))) (f x)) 1) ;; local-expression as part of another expression

Some Incorrect Examples

- What's wrong with following expressions?
 - (local ((define x 1)))
 - · (local ((define x 1)

(define x 2))

$$\begin{array}{l} x) \\ (local ((define x 1) \\ (define f (+ x 1))) \end{array}$$

(f x)

```
Why local?
Reason 1: Avoid namespace pollution
:: sort: list-of-numbers -> list-of-number
(define (sort alon)
  (cond
    [(empty? alon) empty]
    [(cons? alon) (insert (first alon)(sort (rest alon)))]))
;; insert: number list-of-numbers (sorted) -> list-of number
;; auxiliary function for sort
(define (insert an alon)
  (cond
    [(empty? alon) (list an)]
    [else (cond [(> an (first alon)) (cons an alon)]
                 [else (cons (first alon)
                              (insert an (rest alon)))])))
```

Reason 1: Avoid namespace pollution (contd)

;; sort: list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
(define (sort alon)

```
(local
  (;; insert: number list-of-numbers (sorted) -> list-of numbers
  (define (insert an alon)
        (cond
            [(empty? alon) (list an)]
        [else (cond
               [(> an (first alon)) (cons an alon)]
        [else (cons (first alon)
        insert an (rest alon)))])])))
(cond
```

[(empty? alon) empty]
[(cons? alon) (insert (first alon) (sort (rest alon)))]))



Reason 1: Avoid namespace pollution



Reason 2: Avoid repeated computation

;; last-occurrence: number list-of-posn -> number or false

- ;; (last-occurrence x lop) returns y such that (make-posn x y)
- ;; is the last posn p in lop with (posn-x p) = x;
- ;; returns "false" if no such posn is found.

```
(define (last-occurrence x lop)
```

(cond

```
[(empty? lop) ...]
[else ... (first lop)
... (last-occurrence x (rest lop)) ...]))
```



Reason 2: Avoid repeated computation

 (define (last-occurrence x lop)
 (cond
 [(empty? lop) false]
 [else
 (cond
 [(number? (last-occurrence x (rest lop))))
 (last-occurrence x (rest lop))]
 [(equal? (posn-x (first lop)) x) (posn-y (first lop))]
 [else false])]))



Reason 2: Avoid repeated computation

```
(define (last-occurrence x lop)
  (cond
    [(empty? lop) false]
    [else (local ((define y (last-occurrence x (rest lop))))
            (cond
            [(number? y) y]
            [(equal? (posn-x (first lop)) x) (posn-y (first lop))]
            [else false]))]))
```

- Reason 3: Naming complicated expressions
 ;; mult10 : list-of-digits -> list-of-numbers
 - ;; creates a list of numbers by multiplying each digit in alod
 - ;; by (expt 10 p) where p is the number of digits that follow
 - ;; This is bad code used only as an example. Good code
 - ;; requires refactoring techniques we haven't learned yet. (define (mult10 alod)

(cond

[(empty? alod) empty]

[else (cons (* (expt 10 (length (rest alod))) (first alod))

(mult10 (rest alod)))]))

- Reason 3: Naming complicated expressions
 ;; mult10 : list-of-digits -> list-of-numbers
 ;; creates a list of numbers by multiplying each digit on alod
 ;; by (expt 10 p) where p is the number of digits that follow
 (define (mult10 alod)

 (cond
 - [(empty? alod) 0] [else (local ((define a-digit (first alod)) (define the-rest (rest alon)) (define p (length the-rest))) (cons (* (expt 10 p) a-digit) (mult10 the-rest))]))

Recap of Variable Scopes from COMP 140

myGlobal = 42



Variables and Scope in Scheme

- Example:
 - (local ((define answer₁ 42)

 $(define (f_2 x_3) (+ 1 x_4)))$

 $(f_5 answer_6))$

- Variable occurrences: 1-6
 - Binding (or defining) occurrences: 1,2,3
 - Use occurrences: 4,5,6
 - Scope = code region where a definition may be used
- Scopes of definitions
 - · 1:?
 - · 2:?
 - 3:?

Variables and Scope

What will g evaluate to?
 (define x 0)
 (define f x)
 (define g (local ((define x 1)) f))



- Recall:
 - (local ((define answer₁ 42)

(define $(f_2 x_3) (+ 1 x_4))$)

(f₅ answer₆))

- Which variables can be renamed within the local expression?
- Use the same name for "binding occurrence" and all its "use occurrences"
 - (local ((define answer 42) (define (f x) (+ 1 x))) (f answer))
- What name choices can be used? Any name that does not clash with variable names already visible in same scope. A "fresh" variable name.



- Recall:
 - (local ((define answer₁ 42) (define (f₂ x₃) (+ 1 x₄))) (f₅ answer₆))
- Which variables can be renamed?
- Use the same new name for "binding occurrence" and "use occurrences"
 - (local ((define answer' 42) (define (f x) (+ 1 x))) (f answer'))



- Recall:
 - (local ((define answer₁ 42) (define $(f_2 x_3) (+ 1 x_4)$))
 - (f₅ answer₆))
- Which variables can be renamed?
- Use the same name for "binding occurrence" and "use occurrence"
 - (local ((define answer 42) (define (f' x) (+ 1 x))) (f' answer))



- Recall:
 - (local ((define answer₁ 42) (define $(f_2 x_3) (+ 1 x_4)$))
 - $(f_5 answer_6))$
- Which variables can be renamed?
- Use the same name for "binding occurrence" and "use occurrence"
 - (local ((define answer 42) (define (f x') (+ 1 x'))) (f answer))

Hand Evaluation of Local Expressions

- How do we (hand) evaluate Scheme programs with local?
- By lifting local definitions to the top level and renaming all of the variables that they introduce with *fresh* names to avoid any collisions with variables already defined at the top level.
- To express these laws we need a new format for expressing rules. Why? Because promoting local constructs revises the set of definitions that constitute the *environment* in which evaluation takes place.



;; local-expression as part of another expression
(+ (local ((define x 3) (define (f x) (+ x 1))) (f x)) 1)
=> ???

When naming can cause problems

Romeo, Romeo! wherefore art thou Romeo?

. . .

What's in a name? That which we call a roseBy any other name would smell as sweet.

Romeo and Juliet (II, ii)