Comp 311
Functional Programming

Nick Vrvilo, Two Sigma Investments
Robert “Corky” Cartwright, Rice University

October 17, 2019

Announcement

- Homework 2 was due today at 3pm
- Midterm exam is on **Tuesday Oct 29**
  in DH 1064 (next door) from 7pm – 10pm
  (practice exam is on Piazza)
- Homework 3 is due on Thursday Nov 7
  (posted to Piazza)

Scala Immutable Collections

Immutable Lists

- Behave much like the lists we have defined in class
- Lists are covariant
- The empty list is written `Nil`
- Nil extends `List[Nothing]`

Immutable Lists

- The list constructor takes a variable number of arguments:

```
List(1,2,3,4,5,6)
```

Immutable Lists

- Non-empty lists are built from Nil and Cons (written as the right-associative operator `::`)

```
1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: Nil
```

List Operations

- `head` returns the first element
- `tail` returns a list of elements but the first
- `isEmpty` returns true if the list is empty
- Many of the methods we have defined are available on the built-in lists

FoldLeft and FoldRight Written as Operators

- foldLeft:

```
(zero /: xs)(op)
```

- foldRight:

```
(xs :\ zero)(op)
```

FoldLeft and FoldRight Written as Operators

- foldLeft:

```
(xs foldLeft zero)(op)
```

- foldRight:

```
(xs foldRight zero)(op)
```

FoldLeft and FoldRight Written as Methods

- foldLeft:

```
xs.foldLeft(zero) { op }
```

- foldRight:

```
xs.foldRight(zero) { op }
```

SortWith

```
List(1,2,3,4,5,6) sortWith (_ > _)
                ↦
      List(6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1)
```

Range

```
List.range(1,5)
↦
List(1, 2, 3, 4)
```

Using Fill for Uniform Lists

```
    List.fill(10)(0) ↦
List(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)
```

Using Fill for Uniform Lists

```
 List.fill(3,3)(0) ↦

List(List(0,0,0),
     List(0,0,0),
     List(0,0,0))
```

Tabulating Lists

```
List.tabulate(3,3) { (m,n) =>
  if (m == n) 1 else 0
}
↦
List(List(1,0,0),
     List(0,1,0),
     List(0,0,1))
```

Immutable Sets

Immutable Sets

- Sets are unordered, unrepeated collections of elements
- Set[T] extends the function type T ⇒ Boolean
- Sets are parametric and *invariant* in their element type

Why *in*-variant?

Set Factory

```
Set(1,2,3,4,5)
```

Set Element Addition

```
Set(1,2,3) + 4 ↦
  Set(1,2,3,4)
```

Set Element Subtraction

```
Set(1,2,3) - 2 ↦
    Set(1,3)
```

```
Set(1,2,3) - 4 ↦
   Set(1,2,3)
```

Set Intersection

```
Set(1,2,3) intersect Set(2,4,5,3) ↦
Set(2,3)
```

```
Set(1,2,3) & Set(2,4,5,3) ↦
Set(2,3)
```

Set Union

```
Set(1,2,3) union Set(2,4,5) ↦
Set(1,2,3,4,5)
```

```
Set(1,2,3) | Set(2,4,5) ↦
Set(1,2,3,4,5)
```

```
Set(1,2,3) ++ Set(2,4,5) ↦
Set(1,2,3,4,5)
```

Set Difference

```
Set(1,2,3) diff Set(2,4,5,3) ↦
Set(1)
```

```
Set(1,2,3) -- Set(2,4,5,3) ↦
Set(1)
```

Set Cardinality

```
Set(1,2,3).size ↦
3
```

Set Membership

```
Set(1,2,3).contains(2) ↦
        true
```

```
Set(1,2,3)(2) ↦
   true
```

The *apply* method on sets is equivalent to the *contains* method.

Immutable Maps

Immutable Maps

- Maps are collections of key/value pairs
- They are parametric in both the key and value type
    - Covariant in their value type
    - Invariant in their key type

Why *in*-variant?

The -> Operator

- The infix operator `->` returns a pair of its arguments:

```
1 -> 2
  ↦
(1,2)
```

- Note: Scala also allows *Unicode Operators*, and the infix "⟶" operator is one such example:

```
1 → 2
  ↦
(1,2)
```

The → Operator is Left Associative

```
> 1 → 2 → 3 → 4
res8: (((Int, Int), Int), Int) = (((1,2),3),4)
```

The Map Factory

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3)
              ↦
  Map(a -> 1, b -> 2, c -> 3)
```

Map Addition

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3) + ("d" → 4)
                    ↦
    Map(a -> 1, b -> 2, c -> 3, d -> 4)
```

Map Operations

The operators/methods are defined in the expected way:

- `-`
- `++`
- `--`
- `size`

Map Membership

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).contains("b")
↦
true
```

Map Lookup

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3)("c")
                ↦
                3
```

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).get("c")
                ↦
             Some(3)
```

Map Keys

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).keys
↦
Set(a, b, c): Iterable[String]
```

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).keySet
↦
Set(a, b, c): Set[String]
```

Map Values

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).values
                 ↦
             Set(1,2,3)
```

Map Empty

```
Map("a" → 1, "b" → 2, "c" → 3).isEmpty
↦
false
```

Call-By-Value and Call-By-Name

Call-By-Value

- Thus far, the evaluation semantics we have studied (both with the substitution and environment models) is known as call-by-value:
    - To evaluate a function application, we first evaluate the arguments and then evaluate the function body

Call-By-Value

- We have seen several "special forms" where this evaluation semantics is not what we want:

```
&&        ||        if-else
```

Call-By-Value

- We could delay evaluation in these cases by wrapping arguments in function literals that take no parameters

```
def myOr(left: Boolean, right: () => Boolean) =
  if (left) true
  else right()
```

Call-By-Value

- We could delay evaluation in these cases by wrapping arguments in function literals that take no parameters

```
myOr(true, () => 1/0 == 2) ↦ true
```

- Functions that take no arguments are referred to as *thunks*

Call-By-Name

- Scala provides a way that we can pass arguments as thunks without having to wrap them explicitly

```
def myOr(left: Boolean, right: => Boolean) =
  if (left) true
  else right
```

We simply leave off the parentheses in the parameter's type

Call-By-Name

- Now we can call our function without wrapping the second argument in an explicit thunk:

```
myOr(true, 1/0 == 2) ↦ true
```

- The thunk is applied (to nothing) the first time that the argument is evaluated in a function

Call-By-Name

- We can use by-name parameters to define new *control abstractions:*

```
def myAssert(predicate: => Boolean) =
  if (assertionsEnabled && !predicate)
    throw new AssertionError
```

Syntactic Sugar: Braces for Passing Arguments

- Any function that takes a single argument can be applied by passing the argument enclosed in braces instead of parentheses

```
myAssert {
  2 + 2 == 4
}
```

Syntactic Sugar: Braces for Passing Arguments

- Any function that takes a single argument can be applied by passing the argument enclosed in braces instead of parentheses

```
myAssert {
  def double(n: Int) = 2 * n
  double(2) == 4
}
```