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## Definition

- BNF Syntax (cryptic inductive definition) for local
- exp ::= ...| (local $\left(\right.$ def $_{1}$ def $_{2} \ldots$ def $\left._{n}\right)$ exp)
- def $::=$ (define var exp) | (define (var var $_{2} \ldots$ var $_{n}$ ) exp)

In many contexts, the names of syntactic categories are enclosed in pointy brackets rather than italicized, e.g. <var> instead of var

- Simple examples
- (define x 3) ;; Top-level variable definition
- (define (f x) (+ x 1)) ;; Top-level function definition
- (define-struct entry (name zip phone)) ;; Structure definition


## Definition

## - Simple examples

```
(define x 3)
(local ((define x 3)) (+ x 1))
(define (f x) (+ x 1))
(local ((define x 3) ;; local definition
(define (f x) (+ x 1))) ; ; local definition
(fx)) ;; body
(+ (local ((define x 3)
(define (f x) (+ x 1)))
(f x))
```

1) 

; ; local-expression as part of another expression

## Definition

- What's wrong with following expressions?
(local ((define x 1)))
(local ((define x 1)
(define x 2))
x)
(local ((define x 1)
(define f (+ x 1)))
(f x))


## Why local?

## Reason 1: Avoid namespace pollution

```
;; sort: list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
(define (sort alon)
    (cond
        [(empty? alon) empty]
        [(cons? alon) (insert (first alon)
                        (sort (rest alon)))]))
```

; ; insert: number list-of-numbers (sorted) -> list-of numbers
(define (insert an alon)
(cond
[(empty? alon) (list an)]
[(cons? alon) (if (< an (first alon))
(cons an alon)
(cons (first alon) (insert an (rest alon))))]))

## Why local?

- Reason 1: Avoid namespace pollution

```
;; insertSort: list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
(define (insertSort alon)
(local
```

```
((define (insert an alon)
    (cond
        [(empty? alon) (list an)]
        [else (if (< an (first alon))
                        (cons an alon)]
                        (cons (first alon) (insert an (rest alon)))])))
(cond
    [(empty? alon) empty]
            [(cons? alon) (insert (first alon) (insertSort (rest alon)))]))
```


## Why local?

- Reason 1: Avoid namespace pollution
(define (main_fun x) exp)
(define (aux_fun ${ }_{1} \ldots$ ) $\exp _{1}$ )
(define (aux_fun ${ }_{2} \ldots$ ) $\exp _{2}$ )


```
(define (main_fun x)
    (local ((define (main_fun x) exp)
        (define (aux_fun _ ...) exp }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{l}}{1}{}\mathrm{ )
        (define (aux_fun 2 ...) exp 2))
        (main_fun x)))
```


## Why local?

Reason 2: Avoid repeated computation


## Why local?

- Reason 2: Avoid repeated computation

```
(define (power los)
    (cond [(empty? los) (list empty)]
    [(cons? los)
        (local ((define pow (power (rest los))
            (append (cons-all (first los) pow) pow)]))
```


## Why local?

```
Reason 3: Naming complicated expressions
;; mult10 : list-of-digits -> list-of-numbers
;; creates a list of numbers by multiplying each digit in alod
;; by (expt 10 p) where p is the number of following digits
;; This is bad code used only as an example. Good code
; requires refactoring techniques we haven't learned yet.
(define (mult10 alod)
    (cond
        [(empty? alod) empty]
        [else (cons (* (expt 10 (length (rest alod))) (first alod))
        (mult10 (rest alod)))]))
```


## Why local?

```
    Reason 3: Naming complicated expressions
    ;; mult10 : list-of-digits -> list-of-numbers
    ;; creates a list of numbers by multiplying each digit on alod
    ;; by (expt 10 p) where p is the number of digits that follow
    (define (mult10 alod)
        (cond
            [(empty? alod) 0]
            [else (local
                ((define a-digit (first alod))
                        (define the-rest (rest alon))
                        (define p (length the-rest)))
                (cons (* (expt 10 p) a-digit) (mult10 the-rest))]))
```


## Variables and Scope

- Example:
- (local ((define answer ${ }_{1}$ 42) (define $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\left(+1 \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)$ )) ( $\mathrm{f}_{5}$ answer $_{6}$ ))
- Variable occurrences: 1-6
- Binding (or defining) occurrences: 1,2,3
- Use occurrences: 4,5,6
- Scopes:
- 1:?
- 2:?
- 3:?


## Variables and Scope

- Recall:
- (local ((define answer ${ }_{1}$ 42) (define $\left.\left(f_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(+1 x_{4}\right)\right)$ )
( $f_{5}$ answer $_{6}$ ))
. Variable occurrences: 1-6
- Binding (or defining) occurrences: 1,2,3
- Use occurrences: 4,5,6
- Scopes:
- 1: (all of local expression)
- 2: (all of local expression)
- $3:(+1 x)$


## Variables and Scope

- In the following code segment, what will g evaluate to?
(define x 0)
(define $f$ x)
(define g (local ((define x 1)) f))


## Variables and Scope

- What will g evaluate to?
- (define x 0 )
(define f x)
(define g (local ((define x 1)) f))


## Variables and Scope

- What will g evaluate to?
- (define x 0 )
(define $f x$ )
(define g (local $(($ define $\times 1)) f)$ )


## Variables and Scope

- What will " $g$ " evaluate to?
- (define $\times 0$ )
(define $f$ x)
(define g (local ((define x 1)) f))


## Renaming

- Recall:
- (local ((define answer ${ }_{1}$ 42) (define $\left.\left(\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\left(+1 \mathrm{x}_{4}\right)\right)$ )
( $f_{5}$ answer $_{6}$ ))
- Which variables can be renamed?
- Use the same name for "binding occurrence" and "use occurrence"

```
(local ((define answer 42)
    (define (f x) (+ 1 x)))
    (f answer))
```

- What name choices can be used? Any name that does not clash with variable names already visible in same scope. A "fresh" variable name.


## Renaming

- Recall:
- (local ((define answer ${ }_{1}$ 42)
(define $\left.\left(f_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(+1 x_{4}\right)\right)$ )
( $f_{5}$ answer $_{6}$ ))
- Which variables can be renamed?
- Use the same new name for "binding occurrence" and "use occurrences"
- (local ((define answer' 42) (define (f x) (+ 1 x)))
(f answer'))


## Renaming

- Recall:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - (local } \begin{aligned}
& \left(\text { define answer }{ }_{1} 42\right) \\
& \left.\left(\text { define }\left(f_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(+1 x_{4}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left(f_{5} \text { answer }\right)_{6}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Which variables can be renamed?
- Use the same name for "binding occurrence" and "use occurrence"
- (local ((define answer 42)
(define (f' x) (+ 1 x)))
(f' answer))


## Renaming

- Recall:

> - (local ((define answer ${ }_{1}$ 42)
> (define $\left.\left(f_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(+1 x_{4}\right)\right)$ )
> ( $f_{5}$ answer $_{6}$ ))

- Which variables can be renamed?
- Use the same name for "binding occurrence" and "use occurrence"
- (local ((define answer 42)
(define (f x') (+ 1 x')))
(f answer))


## Evaluation Laws

How do we (hand) evaluate Racket programs with local?

- By lifting local definitions to the top level and renaming all of the variables that they introduce (for which they create binding occurrences) with fresh names to avoid any collisions with variables already defined at the top level.
- To express these laws we need a new format for expressing rules. Why? Because promoting local constructs revises the set of definitions that constitute the environment in which evaluation takes place.
- New format: we evaluate a sequence of define forms followed by an expression (which we formerly called the program application) which yields the answer for the computation.


## Evaluation Laws

- To be continued ...

