Lambda the Ultimate and Reduction Semantics

Corky Cartwright Department of Computer Science Rice University

Motivation for λ -notation

- In most functional languages, functions are data values. Origin: λ -calculus 1930's (Alonzo Church)
- Often, functions are used only once
- Examples: arguments to functions like
 - map,
 - filter,
 - fold, and many more "higher-order" functions
- Sometimes we want to build new functions in the middle of a computation.
- Local suffices but it is notationally clumsy for this purpose.
- λ provides simpler, more concise notation

Basic Idea

λ-notation was invented by mathematicians. For example, given
 f (x) = x² + 1

what is f? f is the function that maps x to $x^2 + 1$ which we might write as

 $x \rightarrow x^2 + 1$

The latter avoids naming the function. The notation $\lambda x \cdot x^2 + 1$ evolved instead of $x \to x^2 + 1$

- In Scheme, we write (lambda (x) (+ (* x x) 1))) instead of $\lambda x \cdot x^2 + 1$.
- . (define (f x) (+ (* x x) 1)) abbreviates
 (define f (lambda (x) (+ (* x x) 1)))

Why λ ?

- The name was used by its inventor
 - Alonzo Church, logician, 1903-1995.
 - Princeton, NJ
 - Introduced lambda in 1930's to formalize mathematical proofs

Church is my academic great-grandfather

Alonzo Church -> Hartley Rogers ->

David Luckham -> Corky Cartwright



Scope for a Lambda Abstraction

- Argument scope: (lambda (x₁ ... x_n) body) introduces the variables
 x₁ ... X_n which have body as their scope (except for holes)
- Example:

```
(lambda (x) (+ (* x x) 1)))
```

• Scope for variable introduced by define. At the top-level,

(define f rhs)

introduces the variable f which is visible everywhere (except inside holes introduced by local definitions of f). Inside (local [(define $f_1 \ rhs_1$) ... (define $f_n \ rhs_n$)) body)

- the variables $f_1 \ldots f_n$ have the entire local as their scope.
- Recursion comes from define not lambda! It is possible to define recursive functions solely using lambda (and whatever primitive operations that appear in a define but it is surprisingly hard.

Some PL researchers are crazy about λ !



Prof. Phil Wadlerb University of Edinburgh

COMP 211, Spring 2009

Example

Now we can write the following program concisely

```
(define l '(1 2 3 4 5))
(define a
  (local ((define (square x) (* x x)))
    (map square 1)))
as
(define l '(1 2 3 4 5))
(define a (map (lambda (x) (* x x)) l))
```

Careful Definition of Syntax

Official specification of what expressions that use lambda can look like:

• $exp = \dots$ | (lambda (var^*) exp)

- Interesting points
 - Can have multiple arguments
 - Can have no arguments
- Application of a function with no arguments
 - (define blowup (lambda () (/ 1 0))) (blowup)

Reduction Semantics

- Simple Reduction Semantics: Essence of Functional Programming
- Idea: Evaluation of expressions is a familiar idea from grammar school.
- Grammar school: evaluate parenthesized arithmetic expressions
- Functional programming: evaluate arbitrary (functional program) text

Synopsis

- Value are values are values …
- A value evaluates to itself so we stop evaluation when we reduce our original expression to a value.
- In most functional languages, always perform leftmost reductions because order matters

Evaluation of λ-expressions

- How do we evaluate a λ-expression

 (lambda (x₁ ... x_n) body)
 It's a value!
- What about λ-applications?

((lambda ($x_1 \ldots x_n$) body) $v_1 \ldots v_n$)

 $\Rightarrow body[x_1 \leftarrow v_1 \dots x_n \leftarrow v_n] \qquad (called \beta-reduction)$

Examples:

((lambda (x) (* x 5)) 4) => (* 4 5) => 20

((lambda (x) (x x)) (lambda (x) (x x)))
=> ((lambda (x) (x x)) (lambda (x) (x x)))
=> ((lambda (x) (x x)) (lambda (x) (x x)))

Cantural

The meaning of y has changed! But it can *never* happen in the evaluation of Racket program text if lambda is the only binding construct. Racket never reduces inside a lambda.

Safe Substitution

 Must rename local variables in the code body that is being modified by the substitution to avoid capturing free variables in the argument expression that is being substituted.

((lambda (x) (lambda (y) (y x))) (lambda (z) (+ y z)))
=> ((lambda (x) (lambda (f) (f x))) (lambda (z) (+ y z)))
=> (lambda (f) (f (lambda (z) (+ y z))))

Comprehensive Reduction Rules

 The document <u>LawsofEval.pdf</u> is a comprehensive description of the reduction semantics of functional Racket.

You need to understand it in detail. We will briefly review it now.