Comp 311: Sample Midterm Examination

October 17, 2020

Synopsis of the Racket Language for this Exam

All programs should be written in the DrRacket Intermediate Student Language with lambda. This language includes the constructs: define-struct, define, cond, if, and, or, local, lambda, error and function application together the primitive types: number, boolean, symbol, string, (listOf T) for any type T, and n-ary function types $A_1...A_n - > B$ for any types $A_1, ..., A_n, B$. The primitive operations include

- all of the common arithmetic functions including add1, sub1, +, -, *, -;
- the standard relational operations <, <=, = >=, >;
- the boolean operation not
- the equal? operation on all data values;
- the list constructors empty and cons and accessor first and rest;
- recognizers for all of the major types and some commonly used subsets of those types including: number? integer?, positive?, negative?, even?, odd?, rational?, inexact?, exact?, boolean?, symbol?, empty?, cons?, procedure?;
- the list library functions list, append, length, and reverse; and
- the functional library functions map and filter.

The language constants include all numeric constants, all symbols, true, false, empty, and all list constant abbreviations of the form '(a (b) c).

This description of the Intermediate Student Language with lambda should be sufficient to do all of the exam problems but it is not exhaustive. You may use language features and library functions included in the Intermediate Student Language with lambda except those that are explicitly forbidden in the statement of a particular problem.

Note: This sample midterm is longer than what will actually be administered remotely as the Comp 311 midterm, but it covers the topics and varieties of questions that could potentially appear on the actual midterm more thoroughly than a *representative* sample midterm would. This sample midterm was created from an old exam that predates Racket and many of the courses in the existing Computer Science curriculum; there may be errors and omission in converting the text to use Racket and Comp 311 conventions. Please send email to the instructor if you notice any mistakes.

Enjoy!

Problem 1. (10 points) Given the Racket program:

```
(define (contains? los s)
  (cond [(empty? los) false]
        [else (cond [(equal? s (first los)) true]
                                 [else (contains? (rest los) s)])]))
(define (& x y) ; & is a legal function name in Racket
  (cond [x y]
        [else false]))
(define (unary-compose f g)
        (lambda (x) (f (g x))))
```

hand-evaluate each of the following **four** Racket expressions one-step-at-a-time. Use ellipsis where the context is clear. Try to fit each step on one line. *Omit repeating the definitions above.*

1. (contains? (list 'a) 'b)

2. (& (= 1 0) (contains? empty 'c))

Problem 1 cont.

3. ((unary-compose first rest) (list 'a 'b))

4. ((unary-compose not (lambda (e) (contains? l 'a)) '(a b)))

Problem 2. (10 pts) Which of the following expressions are Racket values? Answer **yes** or **no** for each expression.

- foo
- 'foo
- ((lambda (x) (x x)) (lambda (x) (x x)))
- (list x y z)
- (lambda (x) (x x))
- cons?
- (list '+ 7 5)
- (foo '+ 7 5)
- (17)
- zero

Problem 3. (10 pts) Write a definition for the function mapcat that given a function f returns the **concatenation** of the results of applying f to each element of the list. Note that mapcat is very similar to map, but mapcat has type

(alpha -> (listOf beta)) (listOf alpha) -> (listOf beta)

instead of

(alpha -> beta) (listOf alpha) -> (listOf beta)

because it performs concatenation instead of consing. We are supplying the contract, purpose, and a minimal set of test cases for mapcat. You are responsible for writing the template instantiation and the code. You may not use the Racket library function foldr to write mapcat.

```
; mapcat: (alpha -> (listOf beta)) (listOf alpha) -> (listOf beta)
; Purpose (mapcat f lob) returns the list produced by concatenating (f (first lob)),
; ..., (f (last lob))
; Examples
(check-expect (mapcat (lambda (x) (list x x)) empty) empty)
(check-expect (mapcat (lambda (x) (list x x)) (list 1)) (list 1 1))
(check-expect (mapcat (lambda (x) (list x x)) (list 1 2 3)) (list 1 1 2 2 3 3))
; Template Instantiation
#|
(define (mapcat f loa)
```

```
|#
```

; Code (define (mapcat f loa) **Problem 4.** (10 pts) Recall the foldr functional discussed in class (and introduced in the book in the exercises). It is defined by:

```
; foldr: (alpha beta -> beta) beta (listOf alpha) -> beta
; Purpose: (foldr op init loa) where loa = (list a1 a2 ... an) returns
; (op a1 (op a2 ... (op an init) ...)). If we use infix notation
; for op, the result is: a1 op (a2 op ... (an op init) ...)
; Examples:
(check-expect (foldr + 0 empty) 0)
(check-expect (foldr + 0 (list 1)) 1)
(check-expect (foldr * 1 (list 1 2)) 2)
(check-expect (foldr * 0 (list 1 2 3)) 6)
; Code:
(define (foldr op init loa)
(cond [(empty? loa) init]
        [else (op (first loa) (foldr op init (rest loa)))]))
```

Write a definition for mapcat (as defined in the previous problem) using foldr instead of explicit recursion. Since the preceding problem provided the contract, purpose, and examples for mapcat and the template instantiation for this definition of mapcat is degenerate, all that you have to write is the code.

(define (mapcat f loa)

Problem 5. (10 pts) Section 12.4 of the HTDP book shows how to write the function arrangements using a complex help function insert-everywhere/in-all-words. This definition of arrangements can be streamlined by using the library function map instead of structural recursion but still retains the help function insert-everywhere/in-all-words. It is possible to solve this problem with a simpler help function insert-everywhere provided that we use mapcat instead of map. Recall that the type of the function passed to mapcat is different than the type of function passed map.

The following code contains the contract, purpose, and minimal tests for the functions arrangements and insert-everywhere and the code for insert-everywhere. Write a definition for arrangements that uses mapcat and *only* the help function insert-everywhere plus core primitive functions on lists. Your definition of arrangements in conjunction with the definitions for insert-everywhere and mapcat should constitute a complete program for arrangements. Write both a template instantiation and the code.

```
;; Contract arrangements: (listOf symbol) -> (listOf (listOf symbol))
;; Purpose: (arrangements los) returns a list of all permutations of los
;; Examples
(check-expect (arrangements empty) (list empty))
(check-expect (arrangements '(a)) '((a)))
(check-expect (arrangements '(a b)) '((a b) (b a)))
; Template instantiation
#|
(define (arrangements los)
```

#|
;; Code
(define (arrangements los)

```
;; Contract insert-everywhere: symbol (listOf symbol) -> (listOf (listOf symbol))
;; Purpose: (insert-everywhere s los) returns a list of all lists of symbols
;; obtainable from los by inserting s in some position within los.
;; Examples:
(check-expect (insert-everywhere 'a empty) '((a)))
(check-expect (insert-everywhere 'z '(b)) '((z b) (b z)))
(check-expect (insert-everywhere 'd '(b c)) '((d b c) (b d c) (b c d)))
(define (insert-everywhere s los)
   (cond [(empty? los) (list (list s))]
      [else (cons (cons s los)
            (map (lambda (l) (cons (first los) l))
            (insert-everywhere s (rest los))))]))
```

Problem 6. (10 pts.) Recall the definition of an (binary-tree-map-of *alpha*) ((BTMof *alpha*) from Homework 2. (In Homework 2, we wrote (binary-tree-map-of *alpha*) as alpha-BTM Given the structure definition,

(define-struct BTMNode (key val left right))

a (binary-tree-map-of *alpha*) ((BTMof *alpha*) for short) is either (*i*) the value empty; or (*ii*) (make-BTMNode k s left right) where k (the key) is a number, s is an *alpha*, and left and right are (BTMof *alpha*)'s. A (binary-search-tree-map-of *alpha*) (BSTMof *slpha*) is a (BTMof *alpha*) such that for every node (make-BTMNode k s l r) in b, every key in l is less than or equal to k and k is less than every key in r. In essence, the keys in a (BSTMof *alpha*) appear in sorted order (in the print-out of its value).

Write a definition for the function BSTM-max that takes a (BSTMof *alpha*) and finds the maximum *key* (extracted by applying BTMNode-key to a BTMNode node in b). On the degenerate BSTM empty, BSTM-max returns #i-inf.0 which signifies minus infinity, a number less than any exact number (integer or rational). Hence (max n #i-inf.0) = n for any exact number n. We are providing the contract, purpose, and minimal test data. Your task is to write the template instantiation and the code. Your program should run in time proportional the depth of the b— not in time proportional to the number of nodes in b. (Hint: you only recursive call should be (BSTM-max (BTMNode-right b)) and you should only call it once.)

```
;; Node structure used to build BTMs and BSTMs
(define-struct BTMNode (key val left right))
; BSTM-max: (BSTMof alpha) -> number
; Purpose; (BSTM-max b) returns the maximum key in a BMTNode in b.
; Examples:
(define tree1 (make-BTMNode 50 'foo false false))
(define tree2 (make-BTMNode 20 'bar false tree1))
; (check-expect (BSTM-max empty) #i-inf.0) ; check-expect rejects inexact inputs
(check-expect (BSTM-max tree1) 50)
(check-expect (BSTM-max tree2) 50)
; Template Instantiation
#|
(define (BSTM-max b)
```

|#
; Code
(define (BSTM-max b)

Problem 7. (10 pts.) Write a definition for the function tree-map that takes a function f of type (number symbol *alpha alpha -> alpha*), an initial value init of type *alpha*, and a BTM (which may or may not be a BSTM depending on its usage) and returns a value of type *alpha*. For the degenerate BTM empty, it returns the value init. For general (BTMof *alpha*)s, it returns the result of applying f at each node (make-BTMNode k s left right) to k, s, and the *alpha* values obtained recursively for left and right. We have provided the contract, purpose, and minimal test data for tree-map. Your task is to write the template instantiation and the code.

Note this description sounds more complex that it really is because of the type parameter *alpha*. To reduce confusion, think about the special case where *alpha* is number.

```
; tree-map: (number symbol alpha alpha -> alpha) alpha} BTM -> alpha
; Purpose (tree-map f init b) returns the result of "evaluating" the expression
; generated by replacing every leaf in b by init and every node (make-bt k s l r)
; by (f k s l' r') where l' and r' are the "translations" of l and r.
; Examples:
(define tree1 (make-bt 50 'foo empty empty))
(define tree2 (make-bt 20 'bar empty tree1))
(check-expect (tree-map (lambda (k val left right) (+ k left right)) 0 empty) 0)
(check-expect (tree-map (lambda (k val left right) (+ k left right)) 0 tree1) 50)
(check-expect (tree-map (lambda (k val left right) (+ k left right)) 0 tree2) 70)
; Template Instantiation:
#|
(define (tree-map f init b)
```

```
|#
; Code:
(define (tree-map f init b)
```

Problem 8. (10 pts)

• (5 pts) Write a new definition for BSTM-max that uses tree-map instead of explicit recursion. Note that the running time of this version of BSTM-max is proportional to the number of nodes in the tree, not its depth. (In fact, the code will work on BTM's as well as BSTM's.) You only need to write the code.

```
; BSTM-max: (BSTM alpha) -> number
; Purpose; (BSTM-max b) returns the maximum value of (BTMNode-key bn) for any BTMNode
; in b.
; Examples:
(define tree1 (make-BTMNode 50 'foo false false))
(define tree2 (make-BTMNode 20 'bar false tree1))
; (check-expect (bst-max empty) #i-inf.0) ; does not accept inexact arguments
(check-expect (BSTM-max tree1) 50)
(check-expect (BSTM-max tree2) 50)
; Code
(define (BSTM-max b)
```

• (5 pts) Write a definition for the function BTM-depth using tree-map that takes a (BTMof *alpha*) and returns its depth where depth is defined as the length of the longest path of BTMNodes from a leaf to the root in the tree. The depth of empty is 0.

```
; BTM-depth: (BTMof alpha) -> number
; Purpose; (BTM-depth b) returns the maximum number of BTMNodes on a path
; from an empty leaf to the root. The depth of empty is 0 since it does not cont
; Examples:
(check-expect (BTM-depth empty) 0)
(check-expect (BTM-depth tree1) 1)
(check-expect (BTM-depth tree2) 2)
; Code:
(define (BTM-depth b)
```

Problem 9. (20 pts.) The HTDP book suggests doing merge-sort from the bottom up. Their problem decomposition critically depends on two help functions (besides merge) whose direct implementations are not tail-recursive: make-singles (which we called drop in one of class lectures) and merge-neighbors. The code for the direct implementations of these two functions is given below together with contracts, purpose statements, and minimal tests. Your task is to rewrite both of these functions to use tail recursive versions of these functions. Cross out any tests that you replace. Include contracts, purpose statements, minimal tests (akin to those given below), and template instantiations for any help functions you introduce. Note that the conversion to tail-recursive form typically reverses the order of list results because the tail-recursive form processes the elements of the input list in reverse order.

The function merge-neighbors appears on the next page. Note that the composition of these two functions does not yield a merge-sort function. The merge-neighbors function must be repeatedly applied in the body of merge-sort (reducing the length of an input list of length n to ceiling(n/2)) until it has length 1. Then the first (and only) element of this list is the sorted list is a sorted permutation of the input list given to merge-sort.

; make-singles: (listOf number) -> (listOf (listOf number))
; Purpose: (make-singles '(e1 ... en)) returns '((e1) ... (en))
; Structural Recursion Examples:
(check-expect (make-singles (list 1 2)) (list (list 1) (list 2)))
(check-expect (make-singles empty empty))
; Structural Recursion Code
(define (make-singles lon) (map list lon)) ; list is treated as a unary function

Problem 9 cont.

Problem 10. (20 pts.) Extra credit.

Revise the tree-map function so that it can express a solution to BSTM-max that runs in time proportional to the depth of the tree. Show how to express the improved BSTM-max function using your revised tree-map function. Hint: the BSTM-max function that you wrote in Problem 8 above evaluates (BSTM-max (left b)) when it is applied to a node (make-BTMNode k s l r). If you make the function argument f to tree-map simulate call-by-name evaluation (which requires revising the definition of tree-map) of the arguments l and r, then (tree-map f init b) will descend into a subtree of b only when f (which must be re-written to "force" simulated call-by-name arguments) explicitly demands it.