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Worksheet #20 solution: Sequential->Parallel Spanning Tree Algorithm

1. Insert finish, async, and isolated constructs (pseudocode is fine) to convert the sequential spanning tree algorithm on the other side into a parallel algorithm

   See slide 3, as well as the isolatedWithReturn() API in slide 4 for convenience in implementing the pseudocode.

2. Is it better to use a global isolated or an object-based isolated construct for the parallelization in question 1? If object-based is better, which object(s) should be included in the isolated list?

   Object-based isolation should be better with a singleton object list containing the “this” object for the makeParent() method.
Worksheet #20: Sequential->Parallel Spanning Tree Algorithm using object-based isolated construct

1. class V {
2.   V [] neighbors; // adjacency list for input graph
3.   V parent; // output value of parent in spanning tree
4.   boolean makeParent(final V n) {
5.       return isolatedWithReturn(this, () -> {
6.           if (parent == null) { parent = n; return true; }
7.           else return false; // return true if n became parent
8.       });
9.   } // makeParent
10.  void compute() {
11.      for (int i=0; i<neighbors.length; i++) {
12.         final V child = neighbors[i];
13.         if (child.makeParent(this))
14.             async(() -> { child.compute(); });
15.      }
16.  } // compute
17. } // class V
18.
19. root.parent = root; // Use self-cycle to identify root
20. finish(() -> { root.compute(); });
21.

HJ isolatedWithReturn construct

// <body> must contain return statement
isolatedWithReturn (obj1, obj2, ..., () -> <body> );

Motivation: isolated() construct cannot modify local variables due to restrictions imposed by Java 8 lambdas

• Workaround 1: use isolated() and modify objects rather than local variables
  — Pro: code can be easier to understand than modifying local variables
  — Con: source of errors if multiple tasks read/write same object

• Workaround 2: use isolatedWithReturn()
  — Pro: cleaner than modifying local variables
  — Con: can only return one value
Methods in java.util.concurrent.AtomicInteger class and their equivalent HJ isolated statements. Variable v refers to an AtomicInteger object in column 2 and to a standard non-atomic Java object in column 3. val refers to a field of type int.
Atomic Variables represent a special (and more efficient) case of Object-based isolation

```java
1. class V {
2.     V [] neighbors; // adjacency list for input graph
3.     AtomicReference<V> parent; // output value of parent in spanning tree
4.     boolean makeParent(final V n) {
5.         // compareAndSet() is a more efficient implementation of
6.         // object-based isolation
7.         return parent.compareAndSet(null, n);
8.     } // makeParent
9.     void compute() {
10.        for (int i=0; i<neighbors.length; i++) {
11.            final V child = neighbors[i];
12.            if (child.makeParent(this))
13.                async(() -> { child.compute(); }); // escaping async
14.        }
15.     } // compute
16. } // class V
17...
18. root.parent = root; // Use self-cycle to identify root
19. finish(() -> { root.compute(); });
20...
```
Motivation for Read-Write Object-based isolation

1. Sorted List example
2. public boolean contains(Object object) {
3.     // Observation: multiple calls to contains() should not
4.     // interfere with each other
5.     return isolatedWithReturn(this, () -> {
6.         Entry pred, curr;
7.         ...
8.         return (key == curr.key);
9.     });
10. }
11. }
12. public int add(Object object) {
13.     return isolatedWithReturn(this, () -> {
14.         Entry pred, curr;
15.         ...
16.         if (...) return 1; else return 0;
17.     });
18. }
Read-Write Object-based isolation in HJ

isolated(readMode(obj1),writeMode(obj2), …, () -> <body> );

• Programmer specifies list of objects as well as their read-write modes for which isolation is required
• Not specifying a mode is the same as specifying a write mode (default mode = read + write)
• Mutual exclusion is only guaranteed for instances of isolated statements that have a non-empty intersection in their object lists such that one of the accesses is in writeMode

• Sorted List example

1. public boolean contains(Object object) {
2.     return isolatedWithReturn(readMode(this), () -> {
3.         Entry pred, curr;
4.         ...
5.         return (key == curr.key);
6.     });
7. }
8.
9. public int add(Object object) {
10.    return isolatedWithReturn(writeMode(this), () -> {
11.        Entry pred, curr;
12.        ...
13.        if (...) return 1; else return 0;
14.    });
15. }
The world according to Module 1 without & with Phasers

- All the non-phaser parallel constructs that we learned focused on task creation and termination
  - async creates a task
  - forasync creates a set of tasks specified by an iteration region
- finish waits for a set of tasks to terminate
  - forall (like “finish forasync”) creates and waits for a set of tasks specified by an iteration region
- future get() waits for a specific task to terminate
- asyncAwait() waits for a set of DataDrivenFuture values before starting

- Motivation for phasers
  - Deterministic directed synchronization within tasks for barriers, point-to-point synchronization, pipelining
  - Separate from synchronization associated with task creation and termination
  - next operations are much more efficient than task creation/termination (async/finish), but they only help reduce overhead if you perform multiple next operations in a task
Pipeline Parallelism: Another Example of Point-to-point Synchronization (Recap)

- Medical imaging pipeline with three stages
  1. Denoising stage generates a sequence of results, one per image.
  2. Registration stage’s input is Denoising stage’s output.
  3. Segmentation stage’s input is Registration stage’s output.
- Even though the processing is sequential for a single image, pipeline parallelism can be exploited via point-to-point synchronization between neighboring stages
Implementation of Medical Imaging Pipeline

```java
1. final List<PhaserPair> phList1 = Arrays.asList(ph0.inMode(PhaserMode.SIG));
2. final List<PhaserPair> phList2 = Arrays.asList(ph0.inMode(PhaserMode.WAIT), ph1.inMode(PhaserMode.SIG));
3. final List<PhaserPair> phList3 = Arrays.asList(ph1.inMode(PhaserMode.WAIT));
4. 
5. asyncPhased(phList1, () -> { // DENOISE stage
6.     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
7.         doWork(1);
8.         signal(); // same as ph0.signal(); as only ph0 is registered in this async
9.     }
10. });
11. 
12. asyncPhased(phList2, () -> { // REGISTER stage
13.     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
14.         ph0.doWait(); // WARNING: Explicit calls to doWait() can lead to deadlock in general
15.         doWork(1);
16.         ph1.signal();
17.     }
18. });
19. 
20. asyncPhased(phList3, () -> { // SEGMENT stage
21.     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
22.         ph1.doWait();
23.         doWork(1);
24.     }
25. });
```
Serialized Computation Graph for Isolated Constructs (Recap)

- Model each instance of an isolated construct as a distinct step (node) in the CG.
- Need to reason about the order in which interfering isolated constructs are executed
  - Complicated because the order of isolated constructs may vary from execution to execution
- Introduce Serialized Computation Graph (SCG) that includes a specific ordering of all interfering isolated constructs.
  - SCG consists of a CG with additional serialization edges.
  - Each time an isolated step, S', is executed, we add a serialization edge from S to S' for each prior “interfering” isolated step, S
    - Two isolated constructs always interfere with each other
    - Interference of “object-based isolated” constructs depends on intersection of object sets
    - Serialization edge is not needed if S and S' are already ordered in CG
  - An SCG represents a set of schedules in which all interfering isolated constructs execute in the same order.
Example of Serialized Computation Graph with Serialization Edges for v10-v16-v11 order (Recap)

Data race definition can be applied to Serialized Computation Graphs (SCGs) just like regular CGs.

Need to consider all possible orderings of interfering isolated constructs to establish data race freedom.
Announcements

• Reminder: Quiz for Unit 4 is due today!
• Checkpoint #2 for Homework 3 will be due by Friday, March 9th, and the entire homework is due by March 21st
• We will reshuffle the lectures and lab next week:
  • Lab #6 will be during the lecture time, 1PM-2PM on Wednesday, March 7th.
  • Lecture #23 will on Thursday, March 8th, 4-5PM.
• Scope of final exam (Exam 2) will be limited to Lectures 19 - 38