Worksheet 15: Data Driven Futures

For the example below, will reordering the five async statements change the meaning of the program (assuming that the semantics of the reader/writer methods depends only on their parameters)? If so, show two orderings that exhibit different behaviors. If not, explain why not.

No, reordering the asyncs doesn’t change the meaning of the program. Regardless of the order, Task 3 will always wait on Task 1. Task 5 will always wait on Task 2. Task 4 will always wait on both Task 1 and 2.

1. `DataDrivenFuture left = new DataDrivenFuture();`
2. `DataDrivenFuture right = new DataDrivenFuture();`
3. `finish {`
4. `async await (left) leftReader(left); // Task3`
5. `async await (right) rightReader(right); // Task5`
6. `async await (left, right)`
7. `bothReader(left, right); // Task4`
8. `async left.put(leftWriter()); // Task1`
9. `async right.put(rightWriter()); // Task2`
10. `}`
Question: when can the point-to-point computation graph result in a smaller CPL than the barrier computation graph?
Answer: when there is variability in the node execution times.
Phasers: a unified construct for barrier and point-to-point synchronization

• HJ phasers unify barriers with point-to-point synchronization
  — Inspiration for java.util.concurrent.Phaser

• Previous example motivated the need for “point-to-point” synchronization
  — With barriers, phase i of a task waits for all tasks associated with the same barrier to complete phase i-1
  — With phasers, phase i of a task can select a subset of tasks to wait for

• Phaser properties
  — Support for barrier and point-to-point synchronization
  — Support for dynamic parallelism — the ability for tasks to drop phaser registrations on termination (end), and for new tasks to add phaser registrations (async phased)
  — A task may be registered on multiple phasers in different modes
Simple Example with Four Async Tasks and One Phaser

```java
1. finish (() -> {
2.     ph = new Phaser(SIG_WAIT); // mode is SIG_WAIT
3.     asyncPhased(ph.inMode(SIG), () -> {
4.         // A1 (SIG mode)
5.         doA1Phase1(); next(); doA1Phase2(); });
6.     asyncPhased(ph.inMode(SIG_WAIT), () -> {
7.         // A2 (SIG_WAIT mode)
8.         doA2Phase1(); next(); doA2Phase2(); });
9.     asyncPhased(ph.inMode(HjPhaserMode.SIG_WAIT), () -> {
10.        // A3 (SIG_WAIT mode)
11.        doA3Phase1(); next(); doA3Phase2(); });
12.    asyncPhased(ph.inMode(HjPhaserMode.WAIT), () -> {
13.        // A4 (WAIT mode)
14.        doA4Phase1(); next(); doA4Phase2(); });
15. });
```
Semantics of next depends on registration mode

SIG_WAIT: next = signal + wait
SIG: next = signal
WAIT: next = wait
Summary of Phaser Construct

- Phaser allocation
  - `HjPhaser ph = newPhaser(mode);`
    - Phaser ph is allocated with registration mode
    - Phaser lifetime is limited to scope of Immediately Enclosing Finish (IEF)

- Registration Modes
  - `HjPhaserMode.SIG, HjPhaserMode.WAIT, HjPhaserMode.SIG_WAIT, HjPhaserMode.SIG_WAIT_SINGLE`
    - NOTE: phaser WAIT is unrelated to Java wait/notify (which we will study later)

- Phaser registration
  - `asyncPhased (ph1.inMode(<mode1>), ph2.inMode(<mode2>), ... () -> <stmt> );`
    - Spawned task is registered with `ph1` in `mode1`, `ph2` in `mode2`, ...
    - Child task's capabilities must be subset of parent's
    - `asyncPhased <stmt>` propagates all of parent's phaser registrations to child

- Synchronization
  - `next();`
    - Advance each phaser that current task is registered on to its next phase
    - Semantics depends on registration mode
    - Barrier is a special case of phaser, which is why `next` is used for both
A task can be registered in one of four modes with respect to a phaser: SIG_WAIT_SINGLE, SIG_WAIT, SIG, or WAIT. The mode defines the set of capabilities — signal, wait, single — that the task has with respect to the phaser. The subset relationship defines a natural hierarchy of the registration modes. A task can drop (but not add) capabilities after initialization.

- SIG_WAIT_SINGLE = \{ signal, wait, single \}
- SIG_WAIT = \{ signal, wait \}
- SIG = \{ signal \}
- WAIT = \{ wait \}
Left-Right Neighbor Synchronization (with m=3 tasks)

1. `finish()` -> { // Task-0
2.    final HjPhaser ph1 = newPhaser(SIG_WAIT);
3.    final HjPhaser ph2 = newPhaser(SIG_WAIT);
4.    final HjPhaser ph3 = newPhaser(SIG_WAIT);
5.    asyncPhased(ph1.inMode(SIG),ph2.inMode(WAIT),
6.        () -> { doPhase1(1);
7.            next(); // signals ph1, waits on ph2
8.            doPhase2(1);
9.        }); // Task T1
10.   asyncPhased(ph2.inMode(SIG),ph1.inMode(WAIT),ph3.inMode(WAIT),
11.        () -> { doPhase1(2);
12.            next(); // signals ph2, waits on ph1 and ph3
13.            doPhase2(2);
14.        }); // Task T2
15.   asyncPhased(ph3.inMode(SIG),ph2.inMode(WAIT),
16.        () -> { doPhase1(3);
17.            next(); // signals ph3, waits on ph2
18.            doPhase2(3);
19.        }); // Task T3
20.}); // finish
Computation Graph for m=3 example
(without async-finish nodes and edges)
forallPhased barrier is just an implicit phaser!

1. `forallPhased(iLo, iHi, (i) -> {
2.   S1; next(); S2; next();
3. })

is equivalent to

1. `finish(() -> {
2.   // Implicit phaser for forall barrier
3.   final HjPhaser ph = newPhaser(SIG_WAIT);
4.   forseq(iLo, iHi, (i) -> {
5.     asyncPhased(ph.inMode(SIG_WAIT), () -> {
6.       S1; next(); S2; next();
7.   }) // next statements in async refer to ph
8. })

Midterm exam (Exam 1)

- Midterm exam (Exam 1) will be held during COMP 322 lab time at 4pm on Thursday, February 21, 2019
  - Closed-notes, closed-book, written exam scheduled for 2.5 hours during 4pm — 6:30pm (but you can leave early if you’re done early!)
  - The exam will be in Canvas AND on paper. You are allowed to use your laptop ONLY to enter your answers in Canvas, nothing else
  - Scope of exam is limited to Lectures 1 - 16 (all topics in Module 1 handout)
  - Since this is a written exam and not a programming assignment, syntactic errors in program text will not be penalized (e.g., missing semicolons, incorrect spelling of keywords, etc) so long as the meaning of your solution is unambiguous.
  - If you believe there is any ambiguity or inconsistency in a question, you should state the ambiguity or inconsistency that you see, as well as any assumptions that you make to resolve it.
  - We will have a recap of Lectures 1-16 on Monday, February 18th, and an interactive Q&A session on Wednesday, February 20th.
Worksheet #16a: Left-Right Neighbor Synchronization using Phasers (turn the page over for the Worksheet #16b)

Name: ___________________  
Netid: ___________________

Complete the phased clause below to implement the point-to-point synchronization shown above for m tasks (generalization of slide 9)

1. `finish (() -> {
2. final HjPhaser[] ph =
   new HjPhaser[m+2]; // array of phaser objects
3. forseq(0, m+1, (i) -> { ph[i] = newPhaser(SIG_WAIT) });
4. forseq(1, m, (i) -> {
5.   asyncPhased( 
      ph[i-1].inMode(...),
      ph[i].inMode(...),
      ph[i+1].inMode(...), ()->{
6.       doPhase1(i);
7.       next();
8.       doPhase2(i); }); // asyncPhased
9. }); // forseq
10. }); // finish
Task A4 has been moved up to line 6. Does this change the computation graph in slide 5? If so, draw the new computation graph. If not, explain why the computation graph is the same.

```
1. finish (() -> {
2.     ph = new Phaser(SIG_WAIT); // mode is SIG_WAIT
3.     asyncPhased(ph.inMode(SIG), () -> {
4.         // A1 (SIG mode)
5.         doA1Phase1(); next(); doA1Phase2(); });
6.     asyncPhased(ph.inMode(HjPhaserMode.WAIT), () -> {
7.         // A4 (WAIT mode)
8.         doA4Phase1(); next(); doA4Phase2(); });
9.     asyncPhased(ph.inMode(SIG_WAIT), () -> {
10.        // A2 (SIG_WAIT mode)
11.        doA2Phase1(); next(); doA2Phase2(); });
12.    asyncPhased(ph.inMode(HjPhaserMode.SIG_WAIT), () -> {
13.        // A3 (SIG_WAIT mode)
14.        doA3Phase1(); next(); doA3Phase2(); });
15. });
```