

# COMP 322: Fundamentals of Parallel Programming

## Lecture 26: Java Locks

Mack Joyner  
mjoyner@rice.edu

<http://comp322.rice.edu>



# Worksheet #25: Bounded Buffer

Consider the case when multiple threads call `insert()` and `remove()` methods concurrently for a single `BoundedBuffer` instance with `SIZE >= 1`.

- 1) Can you provide an example in which the wait set includes a thread waiting at line 2 in `insert()` and a thread waiting at line 11 in `remove()`, in slide 8? If not, why not?
- 2) How would the code behave if all wait/notify calls (lines 2, 6, 11, 15) were removed from the `insert()` and `remove()` methods in slide 8?



# What if you want to wait for shared state to satisfy a desired property? (Bounded Buffer Example)

```
1. public synchronized void insert(Object item) { // producer
2.     // TODO: wait till count < BUFFER SIZE
3.     ++count;
4.     buffer[in] = item;
5.     in = (in + 1) % BUFFER SIZE;
6.     // TODO: notify consumers that an insert has been performed
7. }

9. public synchronized Object remove() { // consumer
10.    Object item;
11.    // TODO: wait till count > 0
12.    --count;
13.    item = buffer[out];
14.    out = (out + 1) % BUFFER SIZE;
15.    // TODO: notify producers that a remove() has been performed
16.    return item;
17. }
```



# Locks

- Use of monitor synchronization is just fine for most applications, but it has some shortcomings
  - Single wait-set per lock
  - No way to interrupt or time-out when waiting for a lock
  - Locking must be block-structured
    - Inconvenient to acquire a variable number of locks at once
    - Advanced techniques, such as hand-over-hand locking, are not possible
- Lock objects address these limitations
  - But harder to use: Need **finally** block to ensure release
  - So if you don't need them, stick with **synchronized**

## Example of hand-over-hand locking:

- `L1.lock() ... L2.lock() ... L1.unlock() ... L3.lock() ... L2.unlock() ....`



# java.util.concurrent.locks.Lock interface

```
1. interface Lock {
2.     // key methods
3.     void lock(); // acquire lock
4.     void unlock(); // release lock
5.     boolean tryLock(); // Either acquire lock (returns true), or return false if lock is not obtained.
6.         // A call to tryLock() never blocks!
7.
8.     Condition newCondition(); // associate a new condition
9. }
```

java.util.concurrent.locks.Lock interface is implemented by java.util.concurrent.locks.ReentrantLock class



# Simple ReentrantLock() example

- Used extensively within `java.util.concurrent`

```
final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();  
...  
lock.lock();  
try {  
    // perform operations protected by lock  
}  
catch(Exception ex) {  
    // restore invariants & rethrow  
}  
finally {  
    lock.unlock();  
}
```

- **Must manually ensure lock is released**

**==> Importance of including call to unlock() in finally clause!**



# java.util.concurrent.locks.condition interface

- Can be allocated by calling `ReentrantLock.newCondition()`
- Supports multiple condition variables per lock
- Methods supported by an instance of condition
  - `void await()` // NOTE: like `wait()` in synchronized statement
    - Causes current thread to wait until it is signaled or interrupted
    - Variants available with support for interruption and timeout
  - `void signal()` // NOTE: like `notify()` in synchronized statement
    - Wakes up one thread waiting on this condition
  - `void signalAll()` // NOTE: like `notifyAll()` in synchronized statement
    - Wakes up all threads waiting on this condition
- For additional details see
  - <http://download.oracle.com/javase/1.5.0/docs/api/java/util/concurrent/locks/Condition.html>



# BoundedBuffer Example using Two Conditions: full and empty

```
1. class BoundedBuffer {  
2.   final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();  
3.   final Condition full = lock.newCondition();  
4.   final Condition empty = lock.newCondition();  
5.  
6.   final Object[] items = new Object[100];  
7.   int putptr, takeptr, count;  
8.  
9.   . . .
```





# BoundedBuffer Example using Two Conditions: full and empty (contd)

```
1. public void put(Object x) throws InterruptedException
2. {
3.     lock.lock();
4.     try {
5.         while (count == items.length) full.await();
6.         items[putptr] = x;
7.         if (++putptr == items.length) putptr = 0;
8.         ++count;
9.         empty.signal();
10.    } finally {
11.        lock.unlock();
12.    }
13. }
```



# BoundedBuffer Example using Two Conditions: full and empty (contd)

```
1. public Object take() throws InterruptedException
2. {
3.     lock.lock();
4.     try {
5.         while (count == 0) empty.await();
6.         Object x = items[takeptr];
7.         if (++takeptr == items.length) takeptr = 0;
8.         --count;
9.         full.signal();
10.        return x;
11.    } finally {
12.        lock.unlock();
13.    }
14. }
```



# Reading vs Writing

- Recall that the use of synchronization is to protect interfering accesses
  - Concurrent reads of same memory: Not a problem
  - Concurrent writes of same memory: Problem
  - Concurrent read & write of same memory: Problem

So far:

- If concurrent write/write or read/write might occur, use synchronization to ensure one-thread-at-a-time

But:

- This is unnecessarily conservative: we could still allow multiple simultaneous readers (as in object-based isolation)

Consider a hashtable with one coarse-grained lock

- Only one thread can perform operations at a time

But suppose:

- There are many simultaneous `lookup` operations and `insert` operations are rare



# java.util.concurrent.locks.ReadWriteLock interface

```
interface ReadWriteLock {  
    Lock readLock();  
    Lock writeLock();  
}
```

- Even though the interface appears to just define a pair of locks, the semantics of the pair of locks is coupled as follows
  - Case 1: a thread has successfully acquired `writeLock().lock()`
    - No other thread can acquire `readLock()` or `writeLock()`
  - Case 2: no thread has acquired `writeLock().lock()`
    - Multiple threads can acquire `readLock()`
    - No other thread can acquire `writeLock()`
- `java.util.concurrent.locks.ReadWriteLock` interface is implemented by `java.util.concurrent.locks.ReadWriteReentrantLock` class



# Hashtable Example

```
class Hashtable<K,V> {
    ...
    // coarse-grained, one lock for table
    ReadWriteLock lk = new ReentrantReadWriteLock();
    V lookup(K key) {
        int bucket = hasher(key);
        lk.readLock().lock(); // only blocks writers
        ... read array[bucket] ...
        lk.readLock().unlock();
    }
    void insert(K key, V val) {
        int bucket = hasher(key);
        lk.writeLock().lock(); // blocks readers and writers
        ... write array[bucket] ...
        lk.writeLock().unlock();
    }
}
```



# Announcements & Reminders

---

- Hw 3 - entire written + programming (Checkpoint #2) is due **today** at 11:59pm
- Lab 6 is due tomorrow at 12pm (noon)
- No lab this week
- Quiz for Unit 6 is due Monday, April 12th at 11:59pm
- Hw 4 will be available today
  - Checkpoint #1 is due Monday, April 19th at 11:59pm
  - Entire written + programming (Checkpoint #2) is due Wednesday, April 28th at 11:59pm



# Worksheet #26: Use of trylock()

Rewrite the transferFunds() method below to use j.u.c. locks with calls to tryLock (see slide 5) instead of synchronized.

Your goal is to write a correct implementation that never deadlocks, unlike the buggy version below (which can deadlock).

Assume that each Account object already contains a reference to a ReentrantLock object dedicated to that object e.g., from.lock() returns the lock for the from object. Sketch your answer using pseudocode.

```
1. public void transferFunds (Account from, Account to, int amount) {
2.     synchronized (from) {
3.         synchronized (to) {
4.             from.subtractFromBalance (amount);
5.             to.addToBalance (amount);
6.         }
7.     }
8. }
```

