COMP 515: Advanced Compilation for Vector and Parallel Processors

Vivek Sarkar Department of Computer Science Rice University vsarkar@rice.edu

1

https://wiki.rice.edu/confluence/display/PARPROG/COMP515

COMP 515

Lecture 21

1 December, 2015



Compiling Array Assignments (a.k.a. "Scalarization")

Allen and Kennedy, Chapter 13

Fortran 90

- Fortran 90: successor to Fortran 77
- Slow to gain acceptance:
 - Need better/smarter compiler techniques to achieve same level of performance as Fortran 77 compilers
- This chapter focuses on a single new feature the array assignment statement: A(1:100) = 2.0

—Intended to provide direct mechanism to specify parallel/vector execution

- This statement must be implemented for the specific available hardware. In an uniprocessor, the statement must be converted to a scalar loop: Scalarization
 - "Scalarization" techniques are also useful for vectorization when array size is larger than vector width (common case)

Fortran 90

 Range of a vector operation in Fortran 90 denoted by a triplet: <lower bound: upper bound: increment>

A(1:100:2) = B(2:51:1) + 3.0

- Semantics of Fortran 90 require that for vector statements, all inputs to the statement are fetched before any results are stored
- As with DO loops, the default value of the increment is 1, i.e., B(2:51) is equivalent to B(2:51:1)

Outline

- Simple scalarization
- Safe scalarization
- Techniques to improve on safe scalarization
 - -Loop reversal
 - -Input prefetching
 - $-Loop \ splitting$
- Multidimensional scalarization
- A framework for analyzing multidimensional scalarization

Scalarization

- Replace each array assignment by a corresponding DO loop
- Is it really that easy?
- Two key issues:
 - Wish to avoid generating large array temporaries
 - Wish to optimize loops to exhibit good memory hierarchy performance

Simple Scalarization

• Consider the vector statement:

A(1:200) = 2.0 * A(1:200)

• A scalar implementation:

 S_1 DO I = 1, 200 S_2 A(I) = 2.0 * A(I) ENDDO

• However, some statements cause problems:

A(2:201) = 2.0 * A(1:200)

• If we naively scalarize, we get incorrect code:

```
DO i = 1, 200
A(i+1) = 2.0 * A(i)
ENDDO
```

Scalarization Faults

- Why do scalarization faults occur?
- Vector operation semantics: All values from the RHS of the assignment should be fetched before storing into the result
- If a scalar operation stores into a location fetched by a later operation, we get a scalarization fault
- Principle 13.1: A vector assignment generates a scalarization fault if and only if the scalarized loop carries a true dependence.
- These dependences are known as scalarization dependences
- To preserve correctness, compiler should never produce a scalarization dependence

Safe Scalarization

- Naive algorithm for safe scalarization: Use temporary storage to make sure scalarization dependences are not created
- Consider:

A(2:201) = 2.0 * A(1:200)

• can be split up into:

T(1:200) = 2.0 * A(1:200)A(2:201) = T(1:200)

Then scalarize using SimpleScalarize

```
DO I = 1, 200

T(I) = 2.0 * A(I)

ENDDO

DO I = 2, 201

A(I) = T(I-1)

ENDDO
```

Safe Scalarization

- Procedure SafeScalarize implements this method of scalarization
- Good news:
 - -Scalarization always possible by using temporaries
- Bad News:
 - -Substantial increase in memory use due to temporaries
 - -More memory operations per array element
 - -Akin to overheads incurred in implementing functional languages
- We shall look at a number of techniques to reduce the effects of these disadvantages

Loop Reversal

A(2:256) = A(1:255) + 1.0

• A scalarization approach using loop reversal that avoids the need for a temporary:

DO I = 256, 2, -1 A(I) = A(I-1) + 1.0ENDDO

Loop Reversal

- When can we use loop reversal?
 - Loop reversal maps true dependences into antidependences
 - But may also map antidependences into dependences

A(2:257) = (A(1:256) + A(3:258)) / 2.0

• After scalarization:

DO I = 2, 257 A(I) = (A(I-1) + A(I+1)) / 2.0ENDDO

Loop Reversal gets us:

```
DO I = 257, 2
A(I) = ( A(I-1) + A(I+1) ) / 2.0
ENDDO
```

- Thus, cannot use loop reversal in presence of antidependences
- Goal: ensure that scalarized loop has no loop-carried true dependences

```
A(2:257) = (A(1:256) + A(3:258)) / 2.0
```

• Causes a scalarization fault when naively scalarized to:

```
DO I = 2, 257

A(I) = (A(I-1) + A(I+1)) / 2.0

ENDDO
```

- Problem: Stores into first element of the LHS in the previous iteration
- Input prefetching: Use scalar temporaries to store elements of input and output arrays

• A first-cut at using temporaries:

```
DO I = 2, 257

T1 = A(I-1)

T2 = (T1 + A(I+1)) / 2.0

A(I) = T2
```

- ENDDO
- * ${\tt T1}$ holds element of input array, ${\tt T2}$ holds element of output array
- But this faces the same problem. Can correct by moving assignment to T1 into previous iteration...

```
T1 = A(1)

D0 I = 2, 256

T2 = (T1 + A(I+1)) / 2.0

T1 = A(I)

A(I) = T2

ENDDO

T2 = (T1 + A(257)) / 2.0

A(I) = T2
```

• Note: We are using scalar replacement, but the motivation for doing so is different than in Chapter 8

- Already seen in Chapter 8, we need as many temporaries as the dependence threshold + 1.
- Example:

+ 1.0

DO I = 2, 257 A(I+2) = A(I)

ENDDO

• Can be changed to:

T1 = A(1) T2 = A(2) D0 I = 2, 255 T3 = T1 + 1.0 T1 = T2 T2 = A(I+2) A(I+2) = T3 ENDDO T3 = T1 + 1.0 T1 = T2 A(258) = T3 T3 = T1 + 1.0 A(259) = T3

- Can also unroll the loop and eliminate register to register copies
- Principle 13.2: Any scalarization dependence with a threshold known at compile time can be corrected by input prefetching.

• Sometimes, even when a scalarization dependence does not have a constant threshold, input prefetching can be used effectively

A(1:N) = A(1:N) / A(1)

• which can be naively scalarized as:

DO i = 1, N A(i) = A(i) / A(1) ENDDO

- true dependence from first iteration to every other iteration
- antidependence from first iteration to itself
- Via input prefetching, we get:

```
tA1 = A(1)
DO i = 1, N
A(i) = A(i) / tA1
ENDDO
```

Multidimensional Scalarization

• Vector statements in Fortran 90 in more than 1 dimension:

A(1:100, 1:100) = B(1:100, 1, 1:100)

corresponds to:

LINDDO

DO J = 1, 100 A(1:100, J) = B(1:100, 1, J) ENDDO

• Scalarization in multiple dimensions:

A(1:100, 1:100) = 2.0 * A(1:100, 1:100)

• Obvious Strategy: convert each vector iterator into a loop:

```
DO J = 1, 100, 1
DO I = 1, 100
A(I,J) = 2.0 * A(I,J)
ENDDO
```

Multidimensional Scalarization

- What should the order of the loops be after scalarization?

 Familiar question: We dealt with this issue in Loop Selection/ Interchange in Chapter 5
- Profitability of a particular configuration depends on target architecture
 - For simplicity, we shall assume shorter strides through memory are better
 - Thus, optimal choice for innermost loop is the leftmost vector iterator

Loop Interchange

 Sometimes, there is a tradeoff between scalarization and optimal memory hierarchy usage

A(2:100, 3:101) = A(3:101, 1:201:2)

• If we scalarize this using the prescribed order:

```
DO I = 3, 101

DO 100 J = 2, 100

A(J,I) = A(J+1,2*I-5)

ENDDO

ENDDO
```

• Direction vectors for true dependences:

- (<, >) (for I = 3, 4) and (>, >) (for I = 6, 7)

- Cannot use loop reversal, input prefetching
- Can use temporaries

Loop Interchange

• However, we can use loop interchange to get:

```
DO J = 2, 100

DO I = 3, 101

A(J,I) = A(J+1,2*I-5)

ENDDO

ENDDO
```

- Not optimal memory hierarchy usage, but reduction of temporary storage
- Loop interchange is useful to reduce size of temporaries
- It can also eliminate scalarization dependences

General Multidimensional Scalarization

- Goal: To vectorize a single statement which has m vector dimensions
 - -Given an ideal order of scalarization $(I_1, I_2, ..., I_m)$
 - $-(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ be direction vectors for all plausible and implausible true dependences of the statement upon itself
 - The scalarization matrix is a n \cdot m matrix of these direction vectors
- For instance:

$$A(1:N, 1:N, 1:N) = A(2:N+1, 1:N, 0:N-1) + A(0:N-1, 2:N+1, 1:N)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{z} \end{array}\right)$$

General Multidimensional Scalarization

- If we examine any column of the direction matrix, we can immediately see if the corresponding loop can be safely scalarized as the outermost loop of the nest:
 - If all entries of the column are = or >, it can be safely scalarized as the outermost loop without loop reversal.
 - If all entries are = or <, it can be safely scalarized with loop reversal.
 - If it contains a mixture of < and >, it cannot be scalarized by simple means.
 - Loop skewing could work

General Multidimensional Scalarization

- Once a loop has been selected for scalarization, the dependences carried by that loop, any dependence whose direction vector does not contain a = in the position corresponding to the selected loop may be eliminated from further consideration.
- In our example, if we move the second column to the outside, we get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} > & = & < \\ < & > & = \\ \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} = & > & < \\ > & < & = \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

• Scalarization in this way will reduce the matrix to:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} > & < \end{array} \right)$$

Scalarization Example

DO J = 2, N-1 A(2:N-1,J) = A(1:N-2,J) + A(3:N,J) +A(2:N-1,J-1) + A(2:N-1,J+1)/4.

ENDDO

- Loop carried true dependence, antidependence
- Naive compiler could generate:

```
DO J = 2, N-1
DO i = 2, N-1
T(i-1) = (A(i-1,J) + A(i+1,J) + A(i,J-1) + A(i,J+1))/4
ENDDO
DO i = 2, N-1
A(i,J) = T(i-1)
ENDDO
ENDDO
```

* 2 \cdot (N-2)^2 accesses to memory due to array T

Scalarization Example

• However, can use input prefetching to get:

```
DO J = 2, N-1

tA0 = A(1, J)

DO i = 2, N-2

tA1 = (tA0+A(i+1,J)+A(i,J-1)+A(i,J+1))/4

tA0 = A(i-1, J)

A(i,J) = tA1

ENDDO

tA1 = (tA0+A(N,J)+A(N-1,J-1)+A(N-1,J+1))/4

A(N-1,J) = tA1

ENDDO
```

 If temporaries are allocated to registers, no more memory accesses than original Fortran 90 program

Post Scalarization Issues

- Issues due to scalarization:
 - -Generates many individual loops
 - These loops carry no dependences. So reuse of quantities in registers is not common
- Solution: Use loop interchange, loop fusion, unroll-and-jam, and scalar replacement