Comp 311

Accumulators, Help Functions and Mutually Referential Data Definitions

Corky Cartwright

Department of Computer Science

Rice University



- Fast algorithms often use "temporaries" and auxiliary data structures
- Common examples abound. Some appear on HW2.
 An example that integrates well with the narrative in these lectures: a more efficient version of flatten.
- Key idea: introduce help functions with extra arguments that are bound to the requisite temporaries and auxiliary data values that are updated (in recursive calls) as the computation proceeds.
- Key restriction: the temporaries and auxiliary data values must be updateable without mutation! The new values are constructed from existing values without modifying the latter.

COMP 311, Fall 2022

Familiar Example: flatten

- Our naïve formulation of flatten relies on append to concatenate sections of the result list. This process (which is applied recursively!) implies that the running time of flatten is quadratic. If we wrote imperative code we could clearly perform this computation in linear time since we can concatenate lists in linear time if we use a linked representation and maintain list headers with pointers to the last elements.
- Observation: our naïve formulation constructs the flattening of (first dl) by using cons to build a list of symbols that is initially empty. We could use the flattening of (rest dl) instead of empty as the seed value for flattening (first dl). But this revision requires a help function that takes the accumulated list (the flattening of (rest dl)) as an extra argument. Let's write it. Note that our recursive calls must use the help function in order for the optimization to be performed "all the way down" to the leaves of the input deep list dl.

A Faster Version of flatten

```
;; flatten-help: deep-list (list-of symbol) -> (list-of symbol)
;; Contract: Given a deep-list dl and a (list-of symbol) accum,
     (flatten-help dl accum) returns the flattening of dl appended to accum.
;; Examples ...
;; Template Instantiation (of deep-list Template)
(define (flatten-help dl accum)
  (cond [(empty? dl) ...]
        [(cons? d1) ;; else could be used here
         (cond [(symbol? (first dl)) ... (first dl) ... (flatten-help (rest dl) accum) ...)]
               [(empty? (first dl)) ... (flatten-help (rest dl) accum) ... ]
               [(cons? (first dl)) ...
                (flatten-help (first dl) (flatten-help (rest dl) accum) ... ])]))
|#
;; Code:
(define (flatten-help dl accum)
(cond [(empty? dl) accum]
       [(cons? d1)
        (cond [(symbol? (first dl)) (cons (first dl) (flatten-help (rest dl) accum)))]
              [(empty? (first dl)) (flatten-help (rest dl) accum))]
              [(cons? (first dl))
               (flatten-help (first dl) (flatten-help (rest dl) accum)])]))
;; flatten: deep-list -> (list-of symbol)
;; Contract: ...
;; Template Instantion ...
;; Code
(define (flatten dl) (flatten-help dl empty))
```

COMP 311, Fall 2022



- Real world data tends to have more diversity than simple lists or binary trees.
- My favorite example: program expressions, often called abstract syntax.
- Critical insight in defining program data; it has far more structure than what normal input/output media support, i.e., sequences of characters, arrays of pixels.
- Applications typically need to build rich hierarchical or linked representations. Circular linking (general graphs) is messy but occasionally necessary; trees or DAGs (directed-acyclic graphs) have a simple inductive structure and for this reason are preferred in programming tools.

Terminology

- Common terminology: mutually recursive instead of mutually referential.
- Which is better? I prefer recursive because it suggests repeating hierarchical structure which is the normal and attractive form of diversity typically encountered in computation. Random interconnections are difficult to process.
- Key insight: defining one operation over a recursively interconnected collection of types requires writing a collection of functions, one for each form of data in the web of mutually recursive types. Many different forms of data (constructors) and best handled by writing a separate function for each kind (echoes of OO design).
- Each reference to a given mutually recursive type in a data domain definition corresponds to a different recursive call to the appropriate function in the corresponding template.
- Sound OO? There is a deep connection between the OO perspective and the functional one.

4

Canonical Example: Abstract Syntax

A Simplified AST Example (which is typical of introductions to abstract syntax):

```
; An expression is one of:
; - a number
; - a symbol
 - (make-mul e1 e2) where e1 and e2 are expressions
 - (make-add e1 e2) where e1 and e2 are expressions
 - (make-div e1 e2) where e1 and e2 are expressions
; - (make-sub e1 e2) where e1 and e2 are expressions
; given
(define-struct mul (left right))
(define-struct add (left right))
(define-struct div (left right))
(define-struct sub (left right))
; Examples
   'f
   (make-mul 5 3)
  (make-add 5 3)
   (make-div 5 3)
   (make-sub 5 3)
```

Templates.

```
; Template for processing such an expression
#|
(define (f ... exp ...)
  (cond ;; six different forms of an AST
       [(number? exp) ... ]
       [(symbol? exp) ... ]
       [(mul? exp) ... (f ... (mul-left exp) ...) ... (f ... (mul-right exp) ...) ... ]
       [(add? exp) ... (f ... (add-left exp) ...) ... (f ... (add-right exp) ...) ... ]
       [(div? exp) ... (f ... (div-left exp) ...) ... (f ... (div-right exp) ...) ... ]
       [(sub? exp) ... (f ... (sub-left exp) ...) ... (f ... (sub-right exp) ...) ... ]))
```

This template is rather large (six cases) and ugly; the only saving grace is that the four operations (+, *, -, /) all have very similar form: they all process pairs of numbers. These four operations are often chosen as a starting point because they are familiar infix algebraic operations that we all regularly use and understand.

But do we want to design our framework for expression processing based on this limited form of data? What about binding local variables and retrieving their values. What about defining new functions. What about passing functions as arguments? Can we accommodate recursion in the definition of program functions? Suddenly the simple case splitting model suggested by the preceding template looks much too rigid and narrow. We need a framework for handling many different linguistic constructions. The sublanguage with which we started only contains applications of primitive functions to numbers.

We will look at richer data definitions of abstract syntax later in the course.



- Mutually recursive calls are part of each template
 - Using a mutually recursive type is essentially the same as using a "singly" recursive type.
 - A set of mutually recursive type definitions is really one big recursive type definition with multiple parts and each part has a template
- To ensure termination, the structure of the function calls in the template(s) is crucial for ensuring termination; each recursive call should reduce "a measure of the arguments" with values taken from a well-founded set (no infinite descending chains).
- Not always possible; the desired behavior may include divergence. Can you think of a real world sequential program consuming a finite input that does not always terminate? (Hint: what about interpreting programs in a Turing complete language?)

More about termination

- For the inductive (self-referential) types we saw before today, a recursive functions terminates if
 - it handles the base case(s) cleanly, and
 - it only makes recursive calls on substructures of its primary argument,
 e.g., the rest of a non-empty list
- Mutually recursive (referential) definitions are the same
 - Example: Imagine a type box that can contain bags, and a type bag that can contain boxes. Why does the template ensure termination?
 - Any box will be bigger than any bag it contains
 - Similarly for bags.
 - No infinite descending chains of containment.
 - Aside: what is a bag?

Another Example (Unix File System)

```
A file is either:
   a rawFile, or
   a dir (short for directory)
; A rawFile is (make-rawFile text) where text is a
; a (list-of char)
: A dir is a structure
 (make-dir nFiles) where nFiles is a (list-of nFile)
(define-struct dir (nFiles))
; An nFile is a pair structure
  (make-nFile name f) where name is a symbol and f is
; a file.
(define-struct nFile (name file))
```

Observation: files do not have names! Windows blew it!

COMP 311, Fall 2022 11

Mutually Recursive Templates

```
; file-f : f -> ...
(define (file-f ... f ...)
  (cond [(rawFile? f) ...]
        [(dir? f) ...
         ... (dir-f ... f ...)) ... ]))
; dir-f : dir -> ...
(define (dir-f \dots d \dots))
   ... (nFiles-f ... (dir-nFiles d) ...) ... )
; nFiles-f: nFile-list -> ...
(define (nFiles-f ... nFiles ... ) ;; nFiles is nFile-list
  (cond [(empty? nFiles) ... ]
        [(cons? nFiles) ...
         ... (file-f ... (nFile-file (first nFiles)) ... ) ... )
         ... (nFiles-f... (rest nFiles) ...) ... ]
                                                                  12
 COMP 311, Fall 2022
```

Example function on file system

```
; find?: file symbol -> boolean
; Contract: (find? f n) determines whether a file (which must
; be a directory for this query to be interesting) contains
; file with the name n.
; Instantiated template
#|
(define (find? f n )
  (cond [(rawFile? f) false]
        [(dir? f) ... (nFiles-find? (dir-nFiles f) n) ...)
(define (nFiles-find? nfl n)
  (cond [(empty? nfl) ...]
        [(cons? nfl)
         ... (nFile-find? (first nfl) n) ...
         ... (nFiles-find? (rest nfl) n) ... ]))
(define (nFile-find? nf n)
  ... (nFile-name nf) ...
  ... (find? (nFile-file nf) n) ... )
|#
```

COMP 311, Fall 2022

Code

```
;; find?: file symbol -> boolean
(define (find? f n)
  (cond [(rawFile? f) false]
        [(dir? f) (nFiles-find? (dir-nFiles d) n))
;; nFiles-find?: (list-of nFile) symbol -> boolean
(define (nFiles-find? nfl n)
  (cond [(empty? nfl) false]
        [(cons? nfl)
         (or (nFile-find? (first nfl) n)
             (nFiles-find? (rest nfl) n)]))
;; nFile-find?: nFile symbol -> boolean
(define (nFile-find? nf n)
  (or (equal? (nFile-name nf) n)
      (find? (nFile-file nf) n))
```

COMP 311, Fall 2022 14