COMP 322: Fundamentals of Parallel Programming

Lecture 24: Java Threads, Java synchronized statement

Mack Joyner mjoyner@rice.edu

http://comp322.rice.edu



Introduction to Java Threads and the java.lang. Thread class

- Execution of a Java program begins with an instance of Thread created by the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) that executes the program's main() method.
- Parallelism can be introduced by creating additional instances of class Thread that execute as parallel threads.

```
public class Thread extends Object implements Runnable {
Thread() { ... } // Creates a new Thread
Thread(Runnable r) { ... } // Creates a new Thread with Runnable object r

void run() { ... } // Cabe to be executed by thr

// Case 1: If this thread was
// then that object's run method
// Case 2: If this class is subclassed, t
// in the subclass is called
void start() { ... } // Causes this thread to
void join() { ... } // Wait for this thread to die
void join(long m) // Wait at most m milliseconds for thread to die
static Thread currentThread() // Returns currently executing thread
....
}
```



start() and join() methods

- A Thread instance starts executing when its start() method is invoked
 - start() can be invoked at most once per Thread instance
 - As with async, the parent thread can immediately move to the next statement after invoking t.start()
- A t.join() call forces the invoking thread to wait till thread t completes.
 - Lower-level primitive than finish since it only waits for a single thread rather than a collection of threads
 - No restriction on which thread performs a join on which thread, so it is possible to create a deadlock cycle using join() even when there are no data races
 - Declaring thread references as final does not help because the new() and start()
 operations are separated for threads (unlike futures, where they are integrated)



Two-way Parallel Array Sumusing Java Threads

```
1. // Start of main thread
2. sum1 = 0; sum2 = 0; // sum1 & sum2 are static fields
3. Thread t1 = new Thread(() \rightarrow \{
       // Child task computes sum of lower half of array
        for(int i=0; i < X.length/2; i++) sum1 += X[i];
6.
    });
7. t1.start();
8. // Parent task computes sum of upper half of array
9. for(int i=X.length/2; i < X.length; i++) sum2 += X[i];
10. // Parent task waits for child task to complete (join)
11. t1.join();
12. return sum1 + sum2;
```




Compare with Two-way Parallel Array Sumusing HJ-Lib's finish & async API's

```
1. // Start of Task T0 (main program)
2. sum1 = 0; sum2 = 0; // sum1 & sum2 are static fields
    finish(() -> {
      async(() -> {
4.
5.
        // Child task computes sum of lower half of array
        for(int i=0; i < X.length/2; i++) sum1 += X[i];
6.
7.
     });
     // Parent task computes sum of upper half of array
8.
      for(int i=X.length/2; i < X.length; i++) sum2 += X[i];</pre>
9.
10. });
11. // Parent task waits for child task to complete (join)
12. return sum1 + sum2;
```





HJlib runtime uses Java threads as workers



- HJlib runtime creates a small number of worker threads in a thread pool, typically one per core
- Workers push async's/continuations into a logical work queue
 - when an async operation is performed
 - when an end-finish operation is reached
- Workers pull task/continuation work item when they are idle



Objects and Locks in Java — synchronized statements and methods

- Every Java object has an associated lock acquired via:
 - synchronized statements

```
- synchronized( foo ) { // acquire foo's lock
    // execute code while holding foo's lock
} // release foo's lock
```

- synchronized methods
 - public synchronized void op1() { // acquire 'this' lock
 // execute method while holding 'this' lock
 } // release 'this' lock
- Java language does not enforce any relationship between the object used for locking and objects accessed in isolated code
 - If same object is used for locking and data access, then the object behaves like a monitor
- Locking and unlocking are automatic
 - Locks are released when a synchronized block exits
 - By normal means: end of block reached, return, break
 - When an exception is thrown and not caught



Locking guarantees in Java

- It is preferable to use java.util.concurrent.atomic or HJlib isolated constructs, since they cannot deadlock
- Locks are needed for more general cases. Basic idea is for JVM to implement synchronized(a) <stmt> as follows:
 - 1. Acquire lock for object a
 - 2. Execute <stmt>
 - 3. Release lock for object a
- The responsibility for ensuring that the choice of locks correctly implements
 the semantics of isolation lies with the programmer.
- The main guarantee provided by locks is that only one thread can hold a given lock at a time, and the thread is blocked when acquiring a lock if the lock is unavailable.



Deadlock example with Java synchronized statement

- The code below can deadlock if leftHand() and rightHand() are called concurrently from different threads
 - Because the locks are not acquired in the same order



Deadlock avoidance in HJ with object-based isolation

- HJ implementation ensures that all locks are acquired in the same order
- ==> no deadlock

```
public class ObviousDeadlock {
                                               public void rightHand() {
   public void leftHand() {
                                                  isolated(lock2, lock1) {
     isolated(lock1,lock2) {
                                                    for (int i=0; i<10000; i++)
       for (int i=0; i<10000; i++)
                                                      sum += random.nextInt(100);
          sum += random.nextInt(100);
```



Dynamic Order Deadlocks

There are even more subtle ways for threads to deadlock due to inconsistent lock ordering

```
Consider a method to transfer a balance from one account to another:
public class SubtleDeadlock {
       public void transferFunds(Account from,
                                   Account to,
                                   int amount) {
           synchronized (from) {
                synchronized (to) {
                    from.subtractFromBalance(amount);
                    to.addToBalance(amount);
```

What if one thread tries to transfer from A to B while another tries to transfer from B to A?
 Inconsistent lock order again – Deadlock!



Avoiding Dynamic Order Deadlocks

The solution is to induce a lock ordering

```
Here, uses an existing unique numeric key, acctId, to establish an order
public class SafeTransfer {
     public void transferFunds(Account from, Account to, int amount) {
        Account firstLock, secondLock;
         if (fromAccount.acctId == toAccount.acctId)
             throw new Exception("Cannot self-transfer");
         else if (fromAccount.acctId < toAccount.acctId) {</pre>
             firstLock = fromAccount;
             secondLock = toAccount;
         else {
             firstLock = toAccount;
             secondLock = fromAccount;
         synchronized (firstLock) {
           synchronized (secondLock) {
              from.subtractFromBalance(amount);
              to.addToBalance(amount);
```



Java's Object Locks are Reentrant

- Locks are granted on a per-thread basis
 - Called reentrant or recursive locks
 - Promotes object-oriented concurrent code
- A synchronized block means execution of this code requires the current thread to hold this lock
 - If it does fine
 - If it doesn't then acquire the lock

```
Reentrancy means that recursive methods, invocation of super methods, or local callbacks, don't deadlock
public class Widget {
   public synchronized void doSomething() { ... }
public class LoggingWidget extends Widget {
   public synchronized void doSomething() {
       Logger.log(this + ": calling doSomething()");
       super.doSomething(); // Doesn't deadlock!
```



Worksheet #24: Java Threads and Data Races

- 1) Write a sketch of the pseudocode for a Java threads program that exhibits a data race using start() and join() operations.
- 2) Write a sketch of the pseudocode for a Java threads program that exhibits a data race using synchronized statements



14