211hw5

Homework 5 (Due Friday 2/19/2009 at 10:00am)

Submit this assignment via Owl-Space In contrast to the previous assignments, submit each problem in a separate .ss file: 1.ss, 2.ss, 3.ss, and 4.ss (if you do the extra credit problem). Unfortunately, none of the languages supported by DrScheme will allow these files to be combined. The *Pretty Big* Scheme language allows top-level indentifiers (functions and variables) to be redefined, but it does *not* support check-expect. All of the student languages--the only ones that support check-expect--prohibit redefinition.

Embed answers that are not program text in a Scheme block comment or block commenting brackets (#| and |#).

Use the Intermediate Student with lambda language.

Given the Scheme structure definitions:

```
(define-struct sum (left right))
(define-struct prod (left right))
(define-struct diff (left right))
(define-struct quot (left right))
```

an arith-expr is either:

- a number n,
- a sum (make-sum ae1 ae2),
- a product (make-prod ael ae2),
- a difference (make-diff ael ae2), or
- a quotient (make-quot ae1 ae2)

where n is a Scheme number, and ae1 and ae2 are arith-exprs.

The following 4 exercises involve the data type <code>arith-expr</code>. If you are asked to write a function(s), follow the design recipe: contract, purpose, examples /tests, template instantiation, code, testing (which happens automatically when the examples are given in (<code>check-expect ...</code>) form). Follow the same recipe for any help function that you introduce.

- 1. (40 pts.) Write an evaluator for arithmetic expressions as follows:
 - Write the (function) template for arith-expr
 - Write a function to-list that maps an arith-expr to the corresponding "list" representation in Scheme. Numbers are unchanged.
 Some other examples include:

```
(to-list (make-sum (make-prod 4 7) 25)) => '(+ (* 4 7) 25)
(to-list (make-quot (make-diff 4 7) 25)) => '(/ (- 4 7) 25)
```

Note: you need to define the output type (named scheme-expr) for this function, but you can omit the template because this assignment does not include any functions that process this type.

- Write a function eval: arith-expr -> number that evaluates an arith-expr. Your evaluator should produce exactly the same result for an arith-expr E that Scheme evaluation would produce for the list representation (to-list E).
- (40 pts.) Extend the definition of <arith-expr>} as follows:
 - Add a clause for variables represented as Scheme symbols.
 - Write the (function) template for this definition.
 - Modify your definition of to-list to support the new definition of arith-expr.
 - Given the Scheme structure defintion:

```
(define-structure binding (var val))
```

a binding is (make-binding s n) where s is a symbol and n is a number and an environment is a (list-of binding). Write a (function) template for processing an environment.

- Define a top-level variable (constant) empty-env that is bound to the empty environment.
- Write a function extend that takes environment env, a symbol s, and a number n, and returns an extended environment identical to env except that it adds the additional binding of s to n.
 The definition of extend trivial; it requires no recursion. As a result, extend satisfies the invariant

```
(check-expect (extend empty-env s n) (list (make-binding s n)))
```

In the remainder of the problem, use ${\tt empty-env}$ and ${\tt extend}$ to define example environments for test cases.

• Write a function lookup that takes a symbol s and an environment env and returns the first binding in env with a var component that equals s. If no match is found, lookup returns empty. Note that the return type of lookup is not simply binding. Define the a new union type called option-binding for the the return type.

• Write a new eval function for the new definition of arith-expr. The new eval takes two arguments: an arith-expr E to evaluate and an environment env specifying the values of free variables in E. For example,

```
(eval 'x (extend empty-env 'x 17)) => 17
(eval (make-prod 4 7) (extend empty-env 'x 17)) = 28
(eval 'y (extend empty-env 'x 17)) => some form of run-time error
```

If an arith-expr E contains a free variable that is not bound in the environment env, then (eval E env) will naturally produce some form of run-time error if you have correctly coded eval. Do *not* explicitly test for this form of error.

- 3. (20 pts.) An environment is really a finite function (a finite set of ordered pairs). It is *finite* in the sense that it can be completely defined by a finite table, which is not true of nearly all the primitive and library functions in Scheme (and other programming languages). Even the identity function is *not* finite. For the purpose of this exercise, we redefine the type environment as (symbol -> option-binding).
 - Rewrite eval to use environment defined as a finite function in (symbol -> option-binding) instead of as a (list-of option-binding). If you cleanly coded your definition of eval in the preceding problem using lookup, make-binding, and extend, all that you have to do to your solution to the previous problem is redefine the bindings of lookup, empty-env, and extend, and revise your test cases for extend. You can literally copy the entire text of your solution to problem 2; change the definitions of lookup, empty-env, and extend; update your documentation (annotations) concerning the environment type; and revise your tests for extend. Note that extend cannot be tested (since the result is a function!) without using lookup to examine it. (If you wrote a correct solution to problem 2, you can do this problem is less than 15 minutes!) Hint: you can use lambda-notation to define a constant function for empty-env and extend can be defined as a functional that takes a function (representing an environment) and adds a new pair to the function-using a if embedded inside a lambda-expression.
- 4. Extra Credit (50 pts.) Add support for lambda-expressions in your evaluator as follows:
 - Extend the definition of <arith-expr> by adding a clause for unary lambda-expressions and a clause for unary applications of an arith-expr to an arith-expr. Use the name lam for the structure representing a lambda-expression and the names var and body for the accessors of this structure. Use the name app for the structure representing an application and the names head and arg for the accessors of this structure. Note that the head of an app is an arith-expr not a lam.
 - Write a (function) template for the newest definition of arith-expr.
 - Extend the definition of to-list to support the newest definition of arith-expr.
 - Extend the definition of eval to support the newest definition of arith-expr. Note that eval can now return functions as well as numbers. Your biggest challenge is determining a good representation for function values. What does eval return for a lam input? That input may contain free variables. In principle, you could represent the value of the lam input by a revised lam (with no free variables) obtained by substituting the values for free variables from the environment input (just like we do in hand-evaluation). But this approach is tedious and computationally expensive. A better strategy is to define a structure type (called a closure) to represent a function value. The structure type must contain the original lam and a description of what substitution would have been made, deferring the actual substitution just as eval defers substitutions by maintaining an environment.