HW4-2022

Homework 4 (Due Monday 9/29/2022 at 11:59pm)

To submit this assignment, simply upload the files in your GitHub repository to you GitHub Classroom repository for this assignment (as you have done for earlier assignments). In contrast to Assignments 1 and 2 where you could put the programs for all problems in a single file (HW01.rkt for Assignment 1 and HW02.rkt for Assignment 2), you must store the solution to each problem in a separate .rkt file, namely HW04-1.rkt, HW04-2.rkt, HW04-2.rkt, HW04-2.rkt, HW04-3.rkt, and HW04-4.rkt (if you do the extra credit problem) for problems 1, 2, 3, and 4 (extra credit). Unfortunately, none of the languages supported by DrRacket allow these files to be combined. The *Pretty Big* Racket language allows top-level identifiers (variable names) to be redefined, but it does *not* support check-expect. All of the student languages—which are the only ones that support check-expect—prohibit redefinition of identifiers.

Embed any answer text that is not executable in a DrRacket block comment or block commenting brackets (#| and |#).

Use the Intermediate Student with lambda language.

Given the Racket structure definitions:

```
(define-struct sum (left right))
(define-struct prod (left right))
(define-struct diff (left right))
(define-struct quot (left right))
```

an ArithExpr is either:

- a number n,
- a sum (make-sum ael ae2),
- a product (make-prod ael ae2),
- a difference (make-diff ael ae2), or
- a quotient (make-quot ael ael)

where n is a number (as defined in Racket), and ae1 and ae2 are ArithExprs.

The following 4 exercises involve the data type ArithExpr. If you are asked to write a function(s), follow the design recipe: contract, purpose, examples /tests, template instantiation, code, testing (which happens automatically when the examples are presented using (check-expect ...)). Follow the same recipe for any help function that you introduce. You may use any of the library functions shown in class lectures including append.

- 1. (40 pts.) Write an evaluator for arithmetic expressions as follows:
 - Write the (function) template for ArithExpr
 - Write a function to-list that maps an ArithExpr to the corresponding "list" representation in Racket. Numbers are unchanged.
 Some other examples include:

```
(to-list 12) => 12
(to-list (make-sum (make-prod 4 7) 25)) => '(+ (* 4 7) 25)
(to-list (make-quot (make-diff 4 7) 25)) => '(/ (- 4 7) 25)
```

Notes:

- a. The notation '(+(*47)25) abbreviates (list '+(list *47)25).
- b. You need to define the output type (named RacketExpr) for this function, but you can omit the template because this assignment does not include any functions that process this type. There are several mathematically distinct definitions that are correct. Some are more restrictive (narrower) than others but all our correct.
- c. The notation '(+ (* 4 7) 25) abbreviates (list '+ (list '* 4 7) 25).
- Write a function eval: ArithExpr -> number that evaluates an ArithExpr. Your evaluator should produce exactly the same result for an ArithExpr E that Racket evaluation would produce for the list representation (to-list E).
- 2. (40 pts.) Extend the definition of ArithExpr as follows:
 - Add a clause for variables represented as Racket symbols.
 - Write the (function) template for this extended definition; it should similar to your template for ArithExpr from Problem 1.
 - Modify your definition of to-list to support the expanded definition of ArithExpr.
 - Given the Racket structure definition:

```
(define-structure binding (var val))
```

a binding is $(make-binding \ s \ n)$ where s is a symbol and n is a number. An environment is a $(list-of \ binding)$. Write a (function) template for processing an environment.

- Define a top-level variable empty-env that is bound to the empty environment containing no bindings (i.e., the empty list). Note that empty-env is really a constant since variables cannot be rebound in our functional subset of Racket.
- Write a function extend that takes environment env, a symbol s, and a number n, and returns an extended environment identical to env
 except that it adds the additional binding of s to n.

The definition of extend is trivial; it requires no recursion. As a result, extend satisfies the invariant

```
(check-expect (extend empty-env s n) (list (make-binding s n)))
```

where s is any symbol and n is any number. Hence,

```
(extend empty-env 'a 4) => (list (make-binding 'a 4))
```

In the remainder of the problem, use empty-env and extend to define example environments for test cases.

- Write a function lookup that takes a symbol s and an environment env and returns the first binding in env with a var component that equals s. If no match is found, lookup returns empty. Note that the return type of lookup is not simply binding because it can return empty. Define the a new union type called option-binding for the treturn type. You do not need to write a template for this type since it is trivial.
- Write a new eval function for the expanded definition of ArithExpr. The new eval takes *two* arguments: an ArithExpr E to evaluate and an environment env specifying the values of free variables in E. For example,

```
(eval 'x (extend empty-env 'x 17)) => 17
(eval (make-prod 4 7) (extend empty-env 'x 17)) = 28
(eval 'y (extend empty-env 'x 17)) => some form of run-time error
```

If an ArithExpr E contains a free variable that is not bound in the environment env, then (eval E env) will naturally produce some form of run-time error if you have correctly coded eval. Do not explicitly test for this form of error.

- 3. (20 pts.) An environment is really a finite function (a finite set of ordered pairs). It is *finite* in the sense that it can be completely defined by a finite table, which is not true of nearly all the primitive and library functions in Racket (and other programming languages). Even the identity function is *not* finite. For the purpose of this exercise, we redefine the type environment as (symbol -> option-binding).
 - Rewrite eval to use environment defined as a finite function in (symbol -> option-binding) instead of as a (list-of option-binding). If you cleanly coded your definition of eval in the preceding problem using lookup, make-binding, and extend, all that you have to do to your solution to the previous problem is redefine the bindings of lookup, empty-env, and extend, and revise your test cases for extend. You can literally copy the entire text of your solution to problem 2; change the definitions of lookup, empty-env, and extend; update your documentation (annotations) concerning the environment type; and revise your tests for extend. Note that extend cannot be tested (since the result is a function!) without using lookup to examine it. (Note: if you wrote a correct solution to problem 2, you can do this problem is less than 15 minutes!)

Hint: you can use lambda-notation in Racket to define a constant function for empty-env, and extend can be defined as a functional that takes a function (representing an environment) and adds a new pair to the function--using a if embedded inside a lambda-abstraction

- 4. Extra Credit (50 pts.) Add support for lambda-expressions in your evaluator from Problem 2 as follows:
 - Extend the definition of ArithExpr by adding a clause for unary lambda-abstractions and a clause for unary applications of an ArithExpr to an ArithExpr. Use the name lam for the structure representing a lambda-abstraction and the names var and body for the accessors of this structure. Use the name app for the structure representing a unary application and the names rator and rand for the argument of this structure. Note that the rator of an app is an ArithExpr not a lam (which is a proper subtype of ArithExpr).
 - Write a (function) template for this additional expansion of the definition of ArithExpr.
 - Extend the definition of to-list to support this expansion of the definition of ArithExpr. You print the corresponding "concrete" syntax that would be fed as input to a compiler. Hence,

```
(to-list (make-lam 'x (make-plus 'x 'y))) \Rightarrow (list 'lambda (list 'x) '(+ x y)) \Rightarrow '(lambda (x) (+ x y))
```

• Extend the definition of eval to support this expansion of ArithExpr. Note that eval can now return functions as well as numbers. Your biggest challenge is determining a good representation for function values. What does eval return as the value of a lam input? That input may contain free variables. In principle, you could represent the value of the lam input by a revised lam (with no free variables) obtained by substituting the values for free variables from the environment input (just like we do in hand-evaluation). But this approach is tedious and computationally expensive. A better strategy is to define a structure type (called a closure) to represent a function value. The structure type must contain the original lam and a description of what substitution (of values for identifiers) would have been made, deferring the actual substitution just as eval defers substitutions by maintaining an environment.